

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_76248
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular Characterization of Fungi Associated With Dump Site Soil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The article is written on an interesting and important issue. The overall write up and contents are satisfactory for publication. 2. The title and abstract are acceptable. Please add the numerical result of phylogenetic relationship of fungi isolates in the abstract. 3. With synthesizing the past research, please establish your research gap. 4. Please check the grammatical and spelling mistakes. 5. Please add the name of the fungi isolates into the figure caption in Figure 1. Figure 3 is not understood and was not in the article. Please present Phylogenetic tree of the fungal isolates in the PDF format. 6. Authors should provide some recent references and provide an in-depth literature review. There are less description of the table and figures. Authors need s to describe all findings and compare with other published research. 7. The paper did not incorporate the JABB template and did not follow the formatting, such as spacing, figure and table settings., some paragraph is unreadable such as 1, 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3. 8. Please include some numeric findings in conclusions. 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suraiya Binte Mostafiz
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>