

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_76240
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbial profile and detection of bio-catalytic enzymes from bacterial derivatives in selected agrowastes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	No revision comments	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	No revision comments	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The article is original and display an innovation by using recent research techniques represented by using the molecular docking study.</li> <li>The title informative and relevant</li> <li>References are Relevant, Recent, Referenced correctly and appropriate key studies are included</li> <li>The research question is properly outlined.</li> <li>All the study methods are reliable, valid and reproducible</li> <li>The data are presented in an appropriate way in the form of tables and figures. Also, definite unites, rounding and numbers are utilized. Titles, columns and rows are labelled in a clear correct way.</li> <li>I have found the text in result section added to the data presented. Also, statistically significant results are presented clearly. Practically meaningful results are understood clearly.</li> <li>The results are discussed from multiple angles and placed into context.</li> <li>The conclusions give a clear answer of the study aim. The authors give a clear conclusions supported by results and references. Also, the study limitations give opportunities for future research.</li> <li>I have found the study design answered the aim appropriately and added new information about the importance of the bio-enzyme production potential of microbial derivatives of agrowastes that could be utilized as a crude material for delivering diverse significant compounds with beneficial economic importance to ease the burden of enhanced food production.</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Zeinab Abd Elkhalek Ali El-Shahed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Research Centre, Egypt</b>