



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_52883
Title of the Manuscript:	Public perception on genetically modified products: a case study of three local government areas in Cross River State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Some effort has been expended to obtain the data used in this paper and it is a pity to see it being wasted with very rudimentary statistical analysis. Something more advanced than simple percentages is required. The author is recommended to discover some more advanced techniques such as regression, ANOVA or some other hypothesis testing approach.</p> <p>Second, the author should reconsider the literature review so that it leads to the discovery of some gaps in knowledge that the current research can consider. Preferably, the research questions can then be presented in the form of testable hypotheses. Subsequently, a discussion section can be added which considers the claim for contribution to academic knowledge, which will be based on the testable hypotheses.</p> <p>The methodology section should be more detailed, especially with respect to the development and testing of the questionnaire. The sample achieved should be compared with the population overall.</p> <p>The research limitations should be acknowledged.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John Walsh
Department, University & Country	RMIT University Vietnam, Vietnam