

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_87499
Title of the Manuscript:	Ecological risk link to trace metals levels in surface sediments from Fresco Lagoon, Côte d'Ivoire, Southern Africa.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirpac.com/index.php/IRJPAC/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>This paper presents a study on “Ecological risk link to trace metals levels in surface sediments from Fresco Lagoon, Côte d’Ivoire, Southern Africa”. This research aims to create a space to generate dialogue important in shaping and responding to trace metals levels in surface sediments about the drive in addressing its Ecological risk impact. The paper contains original and interesting information, which would attract civic-minded Scientist who are concerned with more than just advancing their careers. They want to make the world a better place, as much of the assessment are useful to the readers in the world at large. The results of this paper bring some useful information. The topic of this paper falls within the scope of the International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry. The contribution of this paper sounds good; the contents are well performed. I would like to suggest the acceptance of this paper after moderate revision. This is an engaging article that purposefully question our knowledge of the subject. Author write clear Some detailed comments and suggestions are given as follows.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The article title is appropriate.</li> <li>• The abstract accurately reflects the content but Abstract should lay out five key points: Rationale (1-2 sentences) - why was the research needed? Objective (1 sentence)- what were you trying to provide to meet that need? Method(s) (up to 3 sentences) - briefly summarize what and which parameters were measured. Results (up to 4-5 sentences)- what did you find? Please add some data to demonstrate the findings. Conclusions/Recommendations (1 sentence) - so what should be done with or in response to your findings?</li> <li>• Keywords is appropriate.</li> <li>• The purpose or purported significance of the article is explicitly stated.</li> <li>• The research study methods are explained clearly.</li> <li>• The justification of the study is missing.</li> <li>• There are few grammatical and spelling mistakes. Please double check you text and correct them all.</li> <li>• Author Methodology provide context to the research. The author research methodology is presented sufficiently for better understanding. Methodologies used in the manuscript is describe clearly.</li> <li>• All figures and tables are necessary and place appropriate. .</li> <li>• Separate Discussion section from Results. Rather than combining both together. This section must consist of following components: Describe the major findings of your study in the opening sentence. Correlation of your results with previous literature is essential. So, discuss your own results before relating them to the results of other published work. Then correlate your work with at least 7-8 recent publications either in support or in contradiction for justification of results.</li> <li>• Use your distribution map to expand reader understanding why each of these variables matter and its implication.</li> <li>• The conclusions is accurate and supported by the content.</li> <li>• Add the specific value, problems and challenges of the findings in the conclusion.</li> <li>• Add 2-3 lines about future recommendation or implications of research in last portion.</li> <li>• The referenes are comprehensive.</li> <li>• There is enough new content in this paper to distinguish it from other works.</li> <li>• The submission provides enough new material for journal publication.</li> <li>• Significant Statement: Please write the significance statement as follows: “This study discovered the ---- that can be beneficial for ---- and last sentence of this statement could be as: this study will help the researchers to uncover the critical areas of ---- that many researchers were not able to explore. Thus, a new theory on ----- may be arrived at” This suggestion would strengthen the study further and when addressed will improve the manuscript.</li> </ul> <p>Best regards, Raimi Morufu O ORCID ID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5042-6729">https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5042-6729</a> <a href="https://publons.com/a/1479339/">https://publons.com/a/1479339/</a> <a href="https://ssrn.com/author=2891311">https://ssrn.com/author=2891311</a> International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Raimi Morufu Olalekan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Niger Delta University, Nigeria</b>