

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_83698
Title of the Manuscript:	A CONVENIENT SYNTHESIS OF 3-ARYL-5-HYDROXYALKYL-1,2,4-OXADIAZOLES FROM α -HYDROXY ESTERS AND AMIDOXIMES UNDER SOLVENT-FREE CONDITIONS
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirjpac.com/index.php/IRJPAC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript titled “A convenient synthesis of 3-aryl-5-hydroxyalkyl-1,2,4-oxadiazoles from α-hydroxy esters and amidoximes under solvent-free conditions” is interesting, promising, and well-written. And it showed a convenient synthesis of 3-aryl-5-hydroxyalkyl-1,2,4-oxadiazoles without use of any solvent and base. However, I have the following observation and requests:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1) Request authors to include spectra of synthesized compounds or prepare a supplementary file. And did you run the analysis of spectra through simultaneous running of synthesized products and standard compounds?2) Request authors to work on improving the obvious grammatical and syntax errors in the entire manuscript. In some places language is tedious and some expressions are not proper.3) Request authors to include the pharmacological importance of synthesized compounds in the discussion section.4) Request to cross-check references, whether they have been cited correctly or not.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bikash Adhikari
Department, University & Country	Tribhuvan University, Nepal