

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Research Journal of Oncology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_81405
Title of the Manuscript:	A rare case of round ligament leiomyoma masquerading as inguinal hernia
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirjo.com/index.php/IRJO/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The first sentence of the abstract needs restructuring.</li><li>2. 'Mean age of the patients varies between 13 and 70 years and mean dimension of tumor from 0.5 to 15 cm'. Consider revising this statement. Whether the mean age of presentation varies or the age of presentation varies from 13 to 70 years.</li><li>3. 'painless swelling over right inguinal region. Swelling had been gradually increasing in size for the past 6 months and was not reducible. The swelling measured 7 x 5 cm, was irreducible, and non-tender'. There is unnecessary repetition of facts. Painless swelling – non-tendre, not reducible – irreducible.</li><li>4. 'we proceeded with abdominal hysterectomy as patient had completed family'. Kindly elaborate whether informed consent was taken.</li><li>5. There are no comments over the intraoperative course, type of anaesthesia administered and postoperative recovery of the patient.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Devang Bharti
Department, University & Country	ABVIMS & Dr. RML Hospital, India