

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Research Journal of Oncology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_81033
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Significant Lower Carboxyl Esterase Specific Activity in Benign and Malignant Parent Brain Tumors and Derived Primary Cell Culture Indicate reduction in Anticancer Drug metabolism</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirjo.com/index.php/IRJO/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Congratulations to the authors for bringing this very important topic. In general, the manuscript already engaging.</p> <p>Title: consider not generalize into all benign and malignant tumor since this study had limitation, our suggestion is meningioma and glioma</p> <p>Abstract:            Results: numbers are very important is this segment. Please write the p value rather than n or amont of samples, if the authors write terms significant.            Conclusion: the second sentence need to be removed since it is far from the scope of this research.</p> <p>Please specifically mention the laboratory that become this research site. The software that being used in the statistical analysis also need to be mentioned properly.</p> <p>Rather than ratio, We think that percentage type of data is better to determine the comparisons of quantitative data in the results section</p> <p>The last sample obtained were 2015, please includes newer data if possible.</p> <p>Conclusion part: please make 2 or 3 concise sentence. The statistical analysis as well as part of discussion part is not needed..</p> <p>Please re-write the references section, they should be in the consistent format contain title, name and volume journal etc (please refer to the instruction for the authors).</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	This manuscript is quite engaging and interesting, however need revision (possibly rewriting, paraphrasing) due to some similarities with cited literature source.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Considering 2015 last update data, there is possibility that this article might have been presented as abstract/proceeding/poster etc, please mention if any. Please revise some of the mistype, for example on Fig 3 tittle.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dewa Putu Wisnu Wardhana</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Udayana, Indonesia</b>