

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJGH_78019
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical Screening, evaluation of anti-peptic ulcer activities of aqueous leaf extract of neem <i>Azadirachta indica</i> A. Juss (Meliaceae) in Wistar rats.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I suggest adding some information in the introduction to emphasize the importance of medicinal plants and their potential help for people's health.</p> <p>The manuscript should depict a type of standardization (e.g. fingerprint) of the extract.</p> <p>Is there a protocol number from the Animal Use Ethics Committee at the trial site? Please indicate in the text. I also suggest reading the ARRIVE (animal research reporting in vivo experiments) guidelines and improve this section.</p> <p>The manuscript would benefit from inclusion of introducing/bridging sentences between the individual parts of the "Results" that explain the logical order and rationale for the experiments</p> <p>In the Discussion, the Authors should highlight the possible clinical significance of their findings. I suggest that actors organize the discussion of results according to the order of methodology and results to facilitate understanding of the information provided.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>I do suggest improvements in the abstract, introduction, materials and methods, and discussion before it is published.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript is interesting and correctly conducted.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yakubu Jibira
Department, University & Country	Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana