

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJGH_74706
Title of the Manuscript:	Hepatotoxic Nature of Potash (Kaun) in Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What is the rationale for selecting the 250, 500, 750 and 1000 mg/kg body weight of potash 2. What about the ethical issues of animal experiments. Please state the permission details including number for the same in the methodology 3. Two or four figures can be made into one frame instead of presenting the individual bar graph. 4. Authors stated ethical like "ETHICAL APPROVAL: As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s)" this is not at all acceptable for the in-vivo experiments. Please procure the permission for such experiments. 5. Please add histopathological results for better correlation of the results. These results should be concur with increase in doses 	
Minor REVISION comments	Please mention the P<0.05 at 'a, b, c and d. Please details of statistical analysis results.	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes, ethical clearance details has not been mentioned throughout the manuscript	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Rajesh p. Shastry</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Yenepoya University, India</i>