

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_88103
Title of the Manuscript:	EXTRAPYRAMIDAL SYMPTOMS AND NOVEL ANTIPSYCHOTIC DRUGS
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The abstract should mention how the review was carried out, its methodology. The objective must appear and it must be related to the title.</li><li>- The introduction should state why the research is being carried out and the objective of the research.</li><li>- There is repeated information in the first paragraph of the "EFFICACY VERSUS SIDE EFFECT" section and other parts of the article.</li></ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Place the section "ANTIPSYCHOTICS MECHANISM OF ACTION " before the section "EFFECTS OF ANTIPSYCHOPTIC DRUGS" to maintain concordance.</li><li>- Remove both images, neither of them provides information to understand the research.</li><li>- The conclusions can be more specifi</li></ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- I suggest separating different ideas into different paragraphs.</li></ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Annier Jesús Fajardo Quesada
Department, University & Country	Universidad de Ciencias Médicas de Granma, Cuba