

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_83522
Title of the Manuscript:	A Case Study of Oxcarbazepine-Induced Stevens-Johnson Syndrome
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	/	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I suggest an edit from an English native speaker. - The patient had rashes all over her body except hands, which were red and tan in color, swollen, itchy, scaly, dry, pApular (?), macular and patchy. - If the authors agree, I believe the abstract should be shorter and more concise to attract readers. Also, sections could be added, such as, background, case presentation, discussion and conclusion - I believe introduction is too long. Some aspects of molecular characteristics are interesting, but detailed explanation is more suitable for discussion - I do not think that numbering patient's problems is suitable. This could be written in a sentence - Did you use VAS scale for pain or any other? – please explain - What was Naranjo score? - In the 1st paragraph of discussion...HIV can also be the cause - It would be advisable to include SCORTEN score - However, it has higher incidence in females and studies suggest that human immunodeficiency virus is closely associated with SJS – this sentence should be rephrased; it sounds like HIV is more prevalent in women - What is the point of molecular characteristics in the introduction if then nothing is mentioned about that in the case presentation/discussion - It could be mentioned that the patient responded well to treatment, since SJS can be also fatal, and maybe compare the effect of SJS in children with other age groups/diseases (we had a case of cancer patient and for her SJS was fatal). 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I believe that after corrections the article could be suitable for publication. 	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Andrej Cokan
Department, University & Country	Maribor University Medical Centre, Slovenia