

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_76403
Title of the Manuscript:	Parents' Stress and Children's Psychological Problems during the COVID-19 Outbreak in Saudi Arabia: A cross-sectional study without control groups
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<p>Title Please kindly reconsider to remove 'without control groups' as it is understood that cross-sectional study is without control groups</p> <p>Abstract</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Please kindly add on Introduction before jumping straight into Objectives unless this is a requirement from the journal. ii. Methods: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please kindly make sure DASS21 questionnaire is addressed properly. The 'depression' element is missing in the sentence • Please kindly consider inserting sampling techniques and the types of analysis conducted iii. Results <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please kindly consider inserting statistical findings (p values, 95% CI) especially of significant findings iv. Conclusions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please kindly consider include recommendations on how to improve the issues or probably suggest solutions to the gaps noted in the study <p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please kindly avoid usage of words like 'some sort' • Please kindly reconsider altering 'A Saudi study... a Chinese Study...an Italian study' to 'A study conducted in Saudi or China or Italy... "This is because there are many Chinese population over the world. Besides is the author sure the 'Saudi study / Chinese Study or Italian study' only involved the Saudis, Chinese or Italian respectively? • Please reconsider 'Lessons conducted' instead of 'instructions conducted'. • Please reconsider changing the word 'evaluated' to 'studied or determined'. Evaluation is more appropriate for post-intervention, or after a program is conducted • Please do state clearly the aims of study towards the end of Introduction <p>Methodology</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please kindly remove 'without control/comparison groups' • Please kindly elaborate the type of social media used • Please kindly state the inclusion criteria clearly 	

Review Form 1.6

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please consider transferring from sentence “The final sample included.....” to Results • Please kindly read through and to avoid repetitive of words • Please kindly insert details of what statistical analysis use (from the initial part of Results) • Please consider the internal consistency and reliability testing since there is Likert scoring in the questionnaire <p>Results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The analysis used may not be suitable. Please kindly reconsider reviewing the analysis used as Pearson's correlation coefficient is the test statistics that measures the statistical relationship, or association, between two continuous variables. The sociodemographic variables are not continuous variables. Dichotomous variables can be analyzed using chi square or simple logistic regression • Please kindly insert statistical findings especially for significant results, not merely mentioning 'statistically significant' • Please consider using 'no significant association' than no relevant association • Please kindly tabulate the findings more systematically <p>Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion is poorly done with no comparison with other studies. It is merely explaining results of the results. • It is good that limitations are addressed <p>Conclusion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please kindly suggest specific recommendations of way to improve the issues addressed <p>Please kindly consider sending for language editing or proof reading before re-submission</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Mei Ching Lim</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Universiti Malaysia Sabah (UMS), Malaysia</i>