

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_89422
Title of the Manuscript:	'Spread' of Artemisinin Resistance in Myanmar Revisited
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Check the highlighted words in yellow and change to italics in this section and indeed in the entire text. <p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Take note of all the syntaxes as suggested for you in this section and indeed in the entire text. - Insert page numbers for ease of locating the concerned areas <p>Materials and Methods</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Number the sub-sections as suggested for you in the highlights - Label the tables in a standard format, above not below them. - Last paragraph under 2.2, and beginning with, however..... Is too long and not clear. Suggestion is in the text on the same page. - Avoid the use of 'this' or 'that' under this section, and indeed throughout the text. <p>Results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Last paragraph on pg 11 and first paragraph, page 12, ought to move to discussion section and present data as required. - On pg 14, the table of data is not labelled. See suggestions in the text. <p>Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Last paragraph on pg 11 as well the first one on pg 12 should find an appropriate place under this section. - The section ought to have a conclusion and its corresponding recommendation as a standard way of publishing. They are missing under this section. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The paper is good for publication, and the author(s) first of all address the stated comments prior to publication.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joel K. Kirinyet
Department, University & Country	Moi University, School of Medicine, Kenya