

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJTDH_87527</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EVALUATION OF THE ANTIPLASMODIAL POTENTIAL OF THE AQUEOUS LEAF EXTRACT OF Ricinus communis L (EUPHORBIACEAE)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. The English need improvement since there are some grammatical and syntax errors in the manuscript. For example, the words "a quarter" may be as "to a quarter"; "by nucleotide" as "by a nucleotide"; "has led" as "have led"; "decoction to" as "decoction for"; "University" as "the University"; "in negative" as "in the negative"; "1 × 107 of" as "1 × 107"; "determined" as "was determined"; "administration" as "the administration"; "produced a" as "produced a"; "a suppression" as "suppression"; "curative" as "the curative"; "hope of" as "hope for"; "antiplasmodial" as "an antiplasmodial"; "malaria" as "the malaria"; "through" as "throughout"; "highest" as "the highest"; "these significant reduction" as "this significant reduction"; "good" as "the good"; "personal" as "the personal". The grammar mistakes which are not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.</p> <p>2. There are some typing mistakes as well, and authors are advised to carefully proof-read the text. For example, the words "good potentials" may be as "good potential"; "Key words" as "Key words"; "artemisin" as "artemisinin"; "ring stage" as "ring-stage"; "Euphorbiacea" as "Euphorbiaceae"; "local" as "Local"; "taxanomic" as "taxonomic"; "air dried" as "air-dried"; "4 day" as "4-day"; "of the of the" as "of the"; "intra peritoneal" as "intraperitoneal"; "one- way" as "one-way"; "parasite count" as "parasite counts"; "Propylactic" as "Prophylactic"; "post administration" as "post-administration"; "dose levels" as "dose level"; "dose dependent" as "dose-dependent"; "infection there" as "infection, there"; "mean mean" as "mean"; "use products" as "uses products". The typos not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.</p> <p>3. The authors should include the software used for the analysis with its version under the heading "statistical analysis".</p> <p>4. The authors are encouraged to write the results in descriptive manner. And also the different doses tried. At which concentration, the minimum and maximum activity has been noted should be highlighted instead of mentioning dose-dependent activity for better activity.</p> <p>5. The table and figure legends should be improved and a proper footnote should be given. All legends should have enough description for a reader to understand the table and figure without having to refer back of the main text of the manuscript. For example, the necessary expansion may be given instead of abbreviations. And also what does the superscripts (c, etc.) indicates.</p> <p>6. The conclusion should be modified based on the result obtained from the present investigation and should not be supported with reference and it can be shifted to introduction or discussion section.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the text and then use only the abbreviation (For example, MST, SEM, etc.). And it should be in both abstract as well as in the remaining part of the manuscript. Make a word abbreviated in the article that is repeated at least three times in the text, not all words need to be abbreviated.</p> <p>2. The full form of the species should be given when the first time appears in both the abstract and in the remaining part of the manuscript and it should be followed by only the first letter of the genus (For example, <i>Ricinus communis</i> when the first time appears and followed by <i>R. communis</i>, etc.).</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The authors have put effort to evaluate the "EVALUATION OF THE ANTIPLASMODIAL POTENTIAL OF THE AQUEOUS LEAF EXTRACT OF <i>Ricinus communis</i> L (EUPHORBIACEAE)". The authors describe the research undertaken with this in an organized manner, emphasizing the results obtained by them. The article needs modification for better cohesion of information to achieve the goal and the minor shortcomings which need to be considered. Hence, the paper can be accepted after MAJOR REVISIONS are carried out.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>A. Vijaya Anand</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bharathiar University, India</b>