

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_86726
Title of the Manuscript:	STREET HAWKING AMONG CHILDREN: A FORM OF CHILD ABUSE OFTEN OVERLOOKED
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The view proposed in this study that street hawking is a form of child abuse is an important perspective. The findings are of interest but need to be framed in a wider context of nations and non-African countries so that we do not come away thinking this is mainly a Nigerian problem.</p> <p>It is surprising that such a high rate of hawking is associated with sexual abuse, however, 95% of respondents (Table 2) said they like hawking. How is this to be interpreted; they like sexual abuse?</p> <p>Third line in Results; mean should be \pm SEM or SD.</p> <p>Second paragraph in Discussion. What is the contrast?</p> <p>This study is compared to others but the sample here did not attempt to analyze the full range of children and adolescents involved in hawking so some of the discussion comparisons may not be valid.</p> <p>Paragraph 5 in Discussion does not comport with the finding that 95% liked hawking. This does not agree with a number of studies.</p> <p>It may be the case that the findings are limited to Nigeria which will reduce the impact of this study in the field. The Discussion should consider the generalizability of the findings to other countries; even beyond Africa (e.g., South America/Brazil).</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Brent A. Vogt
Department, University & Country	Boston University School of Medicine, USA