

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_86329
Title of the Manuscript:	Meningococcal Meningitis in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The development of the authors is a literature review of the prevalence and incidence of meningococcal infection among the population of the countries situated in the so-called. "meningitis belt" in Africa. The clinical characteristics of meningococcal meningitis are presented, as well as the possible adverse effects after the disease. The possibilities for diagnosis as well as the etiological treatment in establishing N. meningitidis as the cause of the disease are indicated. The need and possibilities for the use of the meningococcal vaccine MenAfriVac co are indicated. Special attention is paid to the socio-economic conditions in which people live in this geographical region.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Please let the authors indicate the dose and number of vaccinations!  Nowhere is the extended abbreviation of years of life adjusted for disabilities (DALYs) seen. Please be mentioned in the text!	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	In conclusion, I think that the article is very well organized, relevant and quite adequate to the need for prevention against meningococcal meningitis in this endemic area of the disease. It deserves to be printed after the answer to my question is included in it!	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Liliya Pekova
Department, University & Country	Trakia University, Bulgaria