

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_86040
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors influencing the uptake of breast cancer screening services among women of reproductive age: A case study of Turbo sub-county, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Reproductive age is an extremely wide criterion for screening; I suggest you address or at least to mention this point to make more a more robust and rich discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - When presenting the population classify or characterize by age group; i. e: - X amount ranged A to B years-old and so on. Or at least present a mean age. <p>This has a motive, is the fact to present and discuss that some screening schemes are indicated or recommended for some age groups such as mammography or ultrasound and that may represent a bias by the age distribution of your population for some screenings and the overall results. I don't know if in Kenya exist a public guideline for screening but you can employ the American Cancer Society Screening Guidelines https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2463262 Which go beyond just the reproductive age criterion.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>First, let me congratulate the researchers for this valuable work, I hope this makes possible for more women to access proper screening in your country.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luis Enrique Lopez Montoya
Department, University & Country	Mexico