

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_85895
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and associated risk factors of Urogenital Schistosomiasis among Primary School Children in Agulu, Anambra State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How was the sample size determined? 2. Questionnaire administration should come before sample collection. 3. There is need for reference where the Authors indicated samples were collected between 10am to 2pm. 4. What was the Language of instruction for questionnaire administration? 5. The Authors should understand that study design section should address the type of study conducted: cross-sectional, longitudinal, retrospective, exploratory, etc. 6. Under discussion, it is wrong to compare the results of a research conducted among Primary School Children with results of research involving pregnant women and older people. This needs to be addressed as they are different study populations. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Authors need to cite references showing their sources of information under the study area. 2. Under Parasitological Technique, the Authors should correct the statement that sedimentation method was used for microscopic examination. 3. At what speed and for how long was the centrifugation done? 4. How was the egg of <i>Schistosoma haematobium</i> recognized? 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The sample size of the survey was too small to support the conclusion reached by the Authors. 2. The use of English in the Manuscript should be improved. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Atalabi Tolulope Ebenezer
Department, University & Country	Federal University Dutsin-Ma, Nigeria