

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_83226
Title of the Manuscript:	Neonatal Jaundice: Knowledge, Attitude and Practice among Pregnant Women attending the Antenatal Clinic of Rivers State University Teaching Hospital, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Be consistence... use the word pregnant women throughout and not just women</p> <p>Briefly, explain or justify the use of the mentioned method.</p> <p>“A convenient sampling size of 149 pregnant women were randomly recruited..... “ This is a bit confusing. Convenient sampling is non-probability and randomly is probability. How did you manage to do these two techniques at the same time? This should be clearly stated</p> <p>“The Fischer test....” This test is not appearing under results section... you can either remove or include in your analysis</p> <p>Source of information should link with ever heard NNJ. You need to show the source of information of those pregnant mothers who heard NNJ</p> <p>This age group mean pregnant women from 0-28 years. This is not a correct grouping. Can you find pregnant women of let say 5 or 9 years? etc. Please rework on the age group. I suggest focusing on the reproductive age group if possible.</p> <p>Include ethical issues I your manuscript</p> <p>This conclusion “Pregnant women in Port Harcourt had poor knowledge and poor practice towards NNJ but had good attitude towards NNJ. The need to intensify health education in pregnant women, health workers, religious, cultural leaders and the public at large about NNJ, it’s complications and preventive measures cannot be over emphasized”.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Need to be rephrased 2. Port Harcourt city/town should also appear under findings 3. The last two sentences should also reflect the findings. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>What about pregnant mothers with mental disorder? Did you include or excluded them?</p>	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Emmanuel Magesa
Department, University & Country	Welwitchia University, Namibia