

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_82952
Title of the Manuscript:	JEHOVAH'S WITNESS PARENTS' EXPERIENCE WITH TRADITIONAL ANEMIA TREATMENT FOR CHILDREN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Did an Ethical Review Board approve this study since this is a sensitive study? If approved, provide the ethical approval number. 2. How was the sample size calculated? 3. What sampling technique was used in selecting the participants? 4. Results not well presented 5. Research questions not clearly stated and addressed 6. No clear conclusion because there were no clear research questions. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The script needs English editing. E.g. using Anemia and anaemia 2. The author should write in paragraphs but not hanging statements. 3. Do not use personal pronouns in manuscripts (e.g. we) 4. The level of satisfaction from parents was not clearly defined and stated. 5. Use Table number but not the term box. 6. Raw information was presented that was analysed. E.g. What was the average period by which the parents suspected or detected anaemia? What was the level of healthcare where the anaemia was detected? 7. What are some plants or traditional products the parents used in treating paediatric anaemia? 8. References In-text references should have only surnames. Some references are too old because current ones are available. The final references (Bibliography) is not consistent in following any style. 	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alfred Ofori Agyemang
Department, University & Country	Institute of Traditional and Alternative Medicine, University of Health and Allied Sciences, Ghana