

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_82351
Title of the Manuscript:	RISK FACTOR ASSOCIATED SEVERITY DISEASE AND HOSPITALIZED IN COVID-19 PATIENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Dear authors, a publication is the final step of a lot of work with a research project. However, research and scientific writing have rules to achieve. Unfortunately, your manuscript contains serious grammatical and scholar flaws.</p> <p>Specific observations:</p> <p>English grammar. The text is hard to understand, because it presents several spellings, punctuations, orthographic (analitic; dyspneu), and grammar errors. The manuscript should be reviewed by a native English speaker. Besides, remember common English is totally different to scientific English.</p> <p>The title (<b>RISK FACTOR ASSOCIATED...</b>) suggests a case control or a retrospective cohort study. Recall, the title is the most read part on an article; therefore, it must attract reader attention.</p> <p>The abstract lacks a clear challenge to solve and study variables; besides, has orthographic errors. Besides, the abstract does not follow journal's instructions. The abstract should be instructive and as brief as possible on the study, validate noteworthy information, and attention to significant findings and conclusions. Moreover, abstract is only part of the article in which several persons who read are attentive in; therefore, authors need to ensure that the abstract is precise.</p> <p>The introduction lacks a clear challenge to resolve. Remember, introduction should provide a realistic delivery of study, the appropriate literature on the topic. It needs to attract the reader's attention from a wide reach of scientific disciplines.</p> <p>Methodology section must present the approval by a research committee, all variables to analyze, and definitions of the variables; all that I mentioned is lacking. Why excluding death patients? The last paragraph presents at the beginning repeated words This section must be as clear as possible; therefore, other researchers can reproduce your study.</p> <p>The results' section is incorrectly written in present time. This section must be in past time; all your work has been done already. Besides, the objective is repeated at the beginning of this section. Results must present the main findings; do not repeat data in prose and tables.</p> <p>The table number 1 has orthographic errors (Dyspneu). The table number 2 has more orthographic errors (Analitic and Dyspneu). Besides, hypertension and fever are not statistically significant according to your results. Finally, I reviewed the significances of age and cough, and both are not significant; also, cough needed a Fisher exact test.</p> <p>All your citations inside the text are not according to journals' instructions: "In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3]."</p> <p>The journals' names are incorrectly abbreviated. Remember journal name abbreviations should be those found in the NCBI databases (Link: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nlmcatalog/journals">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nlmcatalog/journals</a>).</p> <p>Dear authors, your research is interesting and deserves to be published; however, your text has serious flaws. You must hurry up to correct all mistakes.</p> <p>I suggest checking these books:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Day RA &amp; Sakaduski N. Scientific English, a guide for scientists and other professionals. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Greenwood, 2011.</li> <li>- <b>Hilary, Glasman-Deal. Science research writing for non-native speakers of English. Imperial College Press, 2010.</b></li> <li>-Lang TA. How to write, publish &amp; present in the health sciences: A guide to clinicians &amp; laboratory researchers. ACP Press, 2010.</li> <li>-<b>Schimel J. Writing science: how to write papers that get cited and proposals that get funded. Oxford University Press, 2012.</b></li> <li>-World Health Organization. Regional Office for the Eastern Mediterranean. (2004). A practical guide for health researchers. Available at: <a href="https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/119703">https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/119703</a></li> </ul> <p>Best wishes.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Dear editor, the work is interesting. Nevertheless, it cannot be published by now because the text contains serious grammatical and scholar flaws. My advice is to reject the manuscript.</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Carlos Manuel Ortiz-Mendoza</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hospital General Tacuba, Mexico</b>