

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_77802
Title of the Manuscript:	Tuberculosis : Phenomenal, Treatment, GIS, Sichon Model, Thailand
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Firstly, I would like to thank that study(Tuberculosis : Phenomenal, Treatment, GIS, Sichon Model, Thailand</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- In abstract, the first five lines of facts do not express a summary, so they must be deleted.</li> <li>2- In the keywords, the word (assessment) does not reflect a keyword specific to the article and should be replaced</li> <li>3- In materials and methods, the mechanism of collecting information should be mentioned, especially since the researcher used more than one group, for example, the risk group, the group of elderly people with tuberculosis and immunodeficiency, and other groups that were discussed in the summary. For this, the mechanism of data collection must be clarified by creating a table or questionnaire sheet</li> <li>4- In Results ( Is Figure 2 Rate of new and recurrent TB cases in 13 participatory health regions in Thailand (You drew this figure using the Excel system? Or an image from an external source? If you are an image, it must be replaced with a chart drawn by the researcher to clarify the data more Accuracy</li> <li>5- The researcher relied on presenting the results by using images from other sources, while the researcher must present the data he collected using figures from his design or tables showing his evidence.</li> <li>6- This article can be considered a review and not an original research</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- The word et al should be written in italics in the body of the article</li> <li>2- The word Methodology is replaced by Material and methods</li> <li>3- In Results figure 3 and 4 You need to mention the reference from which you quoted it is mentioned in the body of the article under the title of the figure and mentioned with the reference paragraph</li> <li>4- In the results, the table title is written above the table, not below it</li> <li>5- In Figure 5 The flow chart of caring for tuberculosis patients in Sichon district hospital You need to mention the reference from which you quoted it is mentioned</li> <li>6- In Figure 5 (A misspelling of the word hospital in the title of the figure)</li> <li>7-</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Yazi Abdullah Jassim</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Babylon University, Iraq</b>