

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_77642
Title of the Manuscript:	IN-VIVO ANTI-PLASMODIAL ACTIVITY OF ETHANOL TETRACARPIDIUM CONOPHORUM SEED EXTRACT AND ITS ANTI-TYPHOIDAL ACTIVITY IN-VITROd
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is a good research report with minor correction. The abstract should be double checked for the errors and corrections pointed out. Apart from general observations, the concentration of each antibiotics tested during the study should be indicated to ensure appropriateness of result obtained.	
Minor REVISION comments	Which type of reference pattern is the author(s) using. See the numbering therein and harmonize. It has to be consistent. Some references are not properly cited. Please double check and do the needful In page 3 under materials and methods, reposition the parenthesis appropriately as adjusted there. Under the result section, neither table nor result shows increase or decrease in temperature. Whereas, it was referred to as being determined under Discussion section. This could be a fundamental report omission. Please, double check. Do not capitalise the species name as appeared in many areas of the report. It should be " <i>Salmonella typhi</i> " not " <i>Typhi</i> " Please insert the concentration of each antibiotic in mg as indicated on the pack as earlier stated. Under discussion section, just discuss your observation. Not the objective of the study. State briefly the range of increase in the temperature observed if actually determined.	
Optional/General comments	In this study, are you comparing or also determining the efficacy of the extract for anti-typhoid purpose. Let you statement be clear. Please double check the journal reference style.	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. O. Ajayi
Department, University & Country	Adekunle Ajasin University, Nigeria