

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_76314
Title of the Manuscript:	Association between Caffeine Citrate and Incidence of Acute Kidney Injury in Preterms
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract needs to be rewritten in many phrases (aim of the study, patients and methods) Supplementary file attached should be grouped in a less number of figures and tables. The section 'Aim of the study' should be renumbered or included in 'Introduction'</p> <p>In 'Introduction' you stated that 'Caffeine citrate (another methylxanthines) is presently one of the most prescribed medicines in neonatal units. It is the first choice among all methylxanthines because of its efficacy, better tolerability and wider therapeutic index as well as longer half-life'. Why the aim of the study is 'to determine whether preterm neonates who took caffeine citrate from the first day after birth were less likely to AKI within the first 7 days'? It is already proved or not? Please explain.</p> <p>Please include the reference in 'statistical analysis' as per instructions for authors.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Well conducted article. I suggest to shorten various parts (supplementary files, introduction and discussion).</p>	

Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Riccardo Beltrami
Department, University & Country	University of Pavia, Italy