

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_74927
Title of the Manuscript:	EDUCATIONAL INTERVENTION TO IMPROVE THE KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE, PRACTICES OF HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS AND STUDENTS REGARDING THE PHARMACOVIGILANCE IN TERTIARY CARE HOSPITALS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Language and style: The manuscript is not logically arranged for proper flow and readability. There are numerous grammatical errors. Whole sentences and or paragraphs require re-phrasing to pass the intended message and meaning. Some sections require re-writing in prose e.g. Materials and methods. It may be necessary for the authors to engage a suitable language editing service.</p> <p>Scientific content: The study design is good but details on how it was carried out are missing. Data analysis is not adequately explained. The authors should employ appropriate charts for data presentation.</p> <p>Specific comments:</p> <p>Abstract: The abbreviations used should be written in full. Specify the type of questionnaires used – (un)structured. It is stated that 250 pharmacists were targeted. But the respondents included physicians, nurses and students. Grammatical errors should be corrected.</p> <p>Introduction: Cite references for statements made. Some sentences are incomplete. Half of the Introduction focuses on Nepal. Re-work this section for logical flow. Aim & objectives: Write in prose and make it concise.</p> <p>Materials and methods: Write in prose using suitable language for the narrative.</p> <p>Results: Re-write the whole section for flow. What was the nature of the counselling given to the respondents? Contextualize the results.</p> <p>Discussion: Quite a big junk of this section presents results, that should be moved the relevant section. Re-work the section for flow and language. Some references are cited but not numbered.</p> <p>Conclusion: Re-phrase for language and relevance.</p> <p>References: Format references according to guidelines.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		

Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes. Ethical approval is required for this study involving interviews. The authors don't state whether they obtained ethical approval for the study.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kennedy O. Abuga
Department, University & Country	University Of Nairobi, Kenya