

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_71706
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Efficacy of Two Antibiotics for the Management of Secondary Bacterial Infection in Goats Clinically Affected by Peste des Petits Ruminants
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>What main bacterial species could be the cause of secondary infection?</p> <p>Did the research group manage to isolate any microorganism?</p> <p>Did you do an antibiogram to direct the treatment?</p> <p>Regarding the identification of animals infected with PPR, which genes are used to detect the disease through the RT-PCR technique (RT-PCR assay)?</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Add more data in the introduction regarding secondary diseases that are directly related to PPR</p> <p>Further discuss the reasons why the combination of 2 antibiotics was more efficient than the use of just one.</p> <p>Is there a vaccine for PPR? If so, what is the cost for application to the herd? Dimensioning this in the manuscript would be interesting</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>In general the article is good, but I thought that some information is missing to help understand the article. I suggest improving the English writing throughout the manuscript.</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sandra Mara Burin de Menezes</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of São Paulo (USP), Brazil</b>