

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_71706
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Efficacy of Two Antibiotics for the Management of Secondary Bacterial Infection in Goats Clinically Affected by Peste des Petits Ruminants
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is a study comparative efficacy of two types of antibiotics for the management secondary bacteriology infection in goats with symptoms suggestive by Peste des Petits Ruminants , but negative by PPRV. However, it should be considered that the title does not correspond to the findings and should be changed. Or be used only the results of animals positive by Peste des Petis Ruminants.	
Minor REVISION comments	This is a study comparative efficacy of two types of antibiotics for the management secondary bacteriology infection in goats with symptoms suggestive by Peste des Petits Ruminants , but negative by PPRV. But, only the nasal swabs of 24 goats were positive for PPRV by RT-PCR assay . I believe that only those results of those animals can be considered in the study with the current title . Or the title must be changed.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Márcia Marinho
Department, University & Country	São Paulo State University- Unesp, Brazil