

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_71706
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparative Efficacy of Two Antibiotics for the Management of Secondary Bacterial Infection in Goats Clinically Affected by Peste des Petits Ruminants</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	This article addresses an interesting topic in veterinary medicine, which is the study of the effectiveness of antibiotics in the treatment of bacterial infections in goats affected by PPR. In general, the work is well written, requiring only a few punctual corrections.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	In the Abstract, replace "grouped into two" by "divided into two groups"; In the second paragraph of M & M, replace "were group systematically as those with old numbered neck tags were grouped as A" by "were grouped as follows: those with odd numbers on the neck tags as group A and those with even numbers as group B".  In explaining the results of Tables 3 and 4, in the beginning of some paragraphs: remove "there was an increase" and replace with "there were higher values compared to the reference value".  How was the mortality rate of 41.67% calculated (on page 11)?  In the penultimate line of the Conclusions, remove "of". In the last line, add "secondary bacterial infection associated with" before PPR.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Does this scientific journal not require a process number approved by a research ethics committee?  After these minor corrections, the article can be accepted for publication.	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Claudio José Von Zuben</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>São Paulo State University (UNESP), Brazil</i></b>