

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_69384
Title of the Manuscript:	“Awareness and Practice of Birth Preparedness and Complications Readiness among Pregnant Women in the Covid-19 era In Fako Division, Cameroon”
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The significance of the study not explained in detail, - Ethical issue of including pregnant women age <18 years with informed consent only. - Convenient sampling method used which gives us not strong sampling mechanism and the reason for using this sampling method not explained. - Similarly, purposive sampling method not explained for reader, - Data analysis was not done using SPSS to identify the associated factor. This was the critical issue what this study lacks, - Conflict of interest and disclosure of fund not included at the end, - What quality procedure have taken to assure quality data collection and data entry? 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Introduction part needs to be re-written in a clear and understandable way for the reader. - Methodology part was more explanatory and written in detail, however, there are some repeated ideas which needs to be refined, - Translation and re-translation of questioner not indicated, - A few sentences lack full stop, and poor in singular and plural (eg was/were), 	
Optional/General comments	<p>Generally, the paper introduction, methodology, result and discussion and conclusion part was written in an excellent manner. I found that the importance of this paper is not uncertain. However, the author/s should clarify the main issues raised above like – sampling method used, ethical issues, significance of the study, and data quality assurance techniques. I also include my comments in the research paper for ease of correction and understanding my comments. The minor comments are also much important to make the research paper more attractive, logical, and scientific.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes, the authors should clarify how they take information from pregnant women under 18 years of age.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sintayehu Megersa
Department, University & Country	Ethiopia