

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_69384
Title of the Manuscript:	“Awareness and Practice of Birth Preparedness and Complications Readiness among Pregnant Women in the Covid-19 era In Fako Division, Cameroon”
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. title mentions Fako division and objective mentions Buea Health District. Maybe better to stick to mentioning the health district in title2. background shows significant plagiarism3. materials and methods: the relevance of geographical details of region is not clear. Maybe describing the health care access would be more relevant to study.4. what is the basis for taking 28 weeks as enrolment criteria? That needs to be explained.5. the sampling technique: formula is correct but the Name Lorenz is to be verified with statistics expert.6. the prevalence rate of 12% is taken from a study based in a different geographical area. Studies done from Cameroon itself could be used as reference7. study flow chart needs to be shown with the eligible patients, enrolled and analysed numbers8. data collection: the questionnaire items need to be shown as a table. The item description is not clear and does not correlate with analysis. Not clear on how you have classified for birth preparedness.9. has IEC approval been taken for the study?10. Which part of the questionnaire assesses knowledge? It is not described in tools11. the correlation of age and education with knowledge is not mentioned in results. Which statistical analysis method is used for this?12. which part of questionnaire assesses factor that hinder practice?13. the conclusion and some part of discussion shows plagiarism the conclusions are disturbingly similar to the study done by Ijang et al. even though study concept and geographical area is similar, we cannot ignore the fact that a similar study has already been done so the purpose and conclusions of another study should be different from what has already been proposed.	
Minor REVISION comments	few grammatical errors	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kavita Sreekumar
Department, University & Country	Goa Medical College, Goa University, India