

Review Form 1.6

	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_87451
Title of the Manuscript:	Outcome of Abdominal Myomectomy in Rivers state university teaching hospital, Port Harcourt: A 5-year review
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrrgy.com/index.php/IJRRGY/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Error in calculation of Table No.2, the sum of all those number came as 512, however it should be 508. 2. Indication for surgery shown in the result of abstract and result of the research body are different. 	
Minor REVISION comments	The result shown in the abstract is too much in detailed. It will be better to highlight only the important points and include those details in the result of the research body	
Optional/General comments	<p>Result section 'Gravida and indication for surgery' shows only the percentage, it will be better to show along with the number and also by putting it in the table form.</p> <p>Discussion section It will be better, if the result of the research study is discussed by comparing with the findings of other research study.</p> <p>The last part of the research i.e "Infection control measures needs to be re-evaluated in our facility.....so on", if it is written by giving heading of 'Recommendation' then the readers will find it easy to understand.</p> <p>It will be good to mentioned the sample number/sample size (N = 508) above all the table.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kshetrimayum Nalini Devi
Department, University & Country	Lakshmi Bai Batra College of Nursing, Guru Govind Singh Indraprastha University, India