

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_86428
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTIVENESS OF NURSE LED INTERVENTION ON PREMARITAL GENETIC COUNSELING IN TERMS OF KNOWLEDGE AND ATTITUDE AMONG THE UNMARRIED GIRLS IN SELECTED RURAL AREA, RAJKOT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrrgy.com/index.php/IJRRGY/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article is structured according to the requirements for a scientific communication. It has all the necessary sections: abstract, keywords, introduction, material and methods, results, discussion, conclusion and references.</p> <p>It was taken from institutional ethical committee shri anand college of nursing, and got permission from Surpanch of gadhka village.</p> <p>The fundamental purpose of genetic counselling is to help the individual or family understand their risks and options and to empower them to make informed decisions. Premarital Genetic counselling plays a major role in the prevention and also the treatment of the various genetic disorders. Genetic disorders. A test was performed on 40 unmarried girls for available knowledge regarding genetically transmitted diseases. The favorable effect of the premarital genetic consultation and the educational activity of the nurses is statistically proved.</p> <p>The main conclusion from the study is that most of the girls had poor knowledge and unfavourable attitude in the pre-test and they improved to good knowledge and favourable attitude.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	There is no any.	
Optional/General comments	There is no any.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Danelina Emilova Vacheva
Department, University & Country	Medical University of Pleven, Bulgaria