

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_79609
Title of the Manuscript:	PREDICTIVE SIGNIFICANCE OF MPV AND PDW LEVEL IN IMMUNE THROMBOCYTOPENIA PATIENTS TREATED WITH STEROIDS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijr2h.com/index.php/IJR2H/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>PREDICTIVE SIGNIFICANCE OF MPV AND PDW LEVEL IN IMMUNE THROMBOCYTOPENIA PATIENTS TREATED WITH STEROIDS</p> <p>Dear author, your title of your study has clinical importance. But, the following point needs modification.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On the title part, there are abbreviations which are not necessary and difficult for readers for readers to understand your area of interest. Your title should be SMART. 2. On the abstract part, there are a lot of abbreviation which are forbidden as research guidelines. So remove and rewrite. Your abstract should be short as much as possible. 3. On the main manuscript part, your background are not well cited and referenced. You should cite each sentence. 4. Methodology are not clearly stated 5. Result part looks good 6. Discussion part. I am not satisfied with your discussion. When you discuss you put your result and compare with other studies conducted in other areas. Then you reason out why your result differ or similar. This point are not seen on your manuscript. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Please see grammatical issues deeply. REVISE YOUR REFERENCE</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>For further see commented document attached with revision paper.</p>	

Comment [U1]: MPV? Abbreviation is not recommended on title

Comment [U2]: PDW? Abbreviation is not recommended

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Solomon Demissie Dinku
Department, University & Country	Arba Minch University, Ethiopia