

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_77639
Title of the Manuscript:	Annona muricata L. suppress carbon tetra chloride effects through alleviating hematological disturbances in adult male rabbits
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1-Materials and methods must be written in full details Doses should be referred to a previous method and references should be included 2-Figure 9 and 10 : a higher magnification is required, the difference in shape is unclear (magnification x1000), arrows should be presented clearly on figure, 3-Results section is poorly presented and unorganized please rewrite with more organization. In addition, Monocytes should be added to the haematological parameters. 4- In Discussion, the author mentioned difference in nucleus among neutrophils but the author did not support this with any figures or techniques, the author only measures the difference in number. So please keep the discussion concise to the work you did otherwise neutrophils and lymphocytes should be presented with electron microscopy 5- The author mentioned the reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the manuscript and especially in the conclusion while you did not measure the change in levels of ROS or lipid peroxides ! So the conclusion must be modified according to the aim of the study or the author have to measure parameters that indicated the changes in lipid peroxidation. 6-The manuscript language shows many mistakes and must be revised by a native English speaker</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	I think morphology of erythrocytes needs other imaging technique to clearly show the difference in shape	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Maha M. Shouman</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Modern Sciences and Arts University (MSA), Egypt</i>