

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_90039
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic Evaluation of Gerbera (Gerbera jamesonii Bolus ex. Hooker F.) Under Naturally Ventilated Polyhouse in Prayagraj
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The title part is unclear, Prayagraj in which country? written completely and clearly</li> <li>2. The abstract part is not clear, it has not been written clearly from the conclusions of this research which one is the best and which one is the worst</li> <li>3. The introduction is too short to be clear. Add new reference on Gerbera Genetic Evaluation</li> <li>4. Materials and methods are not clear. Sub-chapter explanations regarding materials and tools are made, then how the parameters work, data analysis and experimental design</li> <li>5. The results and discussion explain that each separate parameter is discussed from table 1, table 2, table 3, table 4, table 5, and table 6 separately. Discussed with appropriate and up-to-date references</li> <li>6. Conclusion is too long, made shorter and clearer</li> <li>7. References are too few, add new references that are appropriate to this research</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Prayogi Sunu
Department, University & Country	Indonesia