

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_89932
Title of the Manuscript:	SCREENING OF BIG ONION VARIETIES FOR SODICITY TOLERANCE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>More information needed in the introduction. Reference citations need to be included in this section as well.</p> <p>Spell out every word and chemical before abbreviating them.</p> <p>State the objectives of the study at the end of the introduction.</p> <p>Where exactly did this experiment take place? Country, city and coordinates are needed.</p> <p>Randomized Block Design is the experimental design. Analysis of Variance is the procedure used to analyse the means. Student's t-test? Tukey's? What means separation procedure was used?</p> <p>Format tables consistently throughout the paper.</p> <p>Need a letters report denoting significant differences in the tables to back up the results.</p> <p>State reasons for continued research at the end of the conclusion.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Split up materials and methods section into two paragraphs.</p> <p>Spacing and paragraph structure needs to be consistent throughout the paper.</p> <p>Fix grammatical issues.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>This is an interesting paper dealing with an important agricultural issue. However, the author needs some guidance from the mentor or a colleague in addressing all the above stated issues before this paper can be seriously considered for publication.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	James Burke
Department, University & Country	University of Arkansas, United States of America