

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_89929
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on performance of chrysanthemum (<i>Dendranthema grandiflora</i> T.) Varieties Under Prayagraj Agro climatic Condition
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. For the article title I suggest: Studies on Performance of Chrysanthemum (Dendranthema grandiflora T.) Varieties under Prayagraj (India) Agro Climatic Conditions</p> <p>ABSTRACT</p> <p>2.The objective of this study was to.....The past tense should be used.</p> <p>3.T.....not italic</p> <p>4. For the common name.....chrysanthemum.....not Chrysanthemum</p> <p>5.....have been replicated 3 times.</p> <p>1. INTRODUCTION</p> <p>6. italic for the scientific plant name.</p> <p>7.Please respect Instructions for authors. [1].</p> <p>The observation is applicable for other situations, too. I will not do this observation anymore.</p> <p>2. MATERIALS AND METHODS</p> <p>8.You can use 2.1.</p> <p>9. I suggest to unify this subheading with the previously one, because as we can see some information are repeated.</p> <p>The new obtained subheading can be named..</p> <p>2.1. Experimental location, environmental conditions (climate and weather)</p> <p>2.2. Biologic material</p> <p>2.3. The experimental design and parameters determined</p> <p>Please shortly explain when the parameters have been determined.</p> <p>Also, please organize the parameters here, as they are also presented in the RESULTS section.</p> <p>For example:</p> <p>2.3.1. Experimental design </p> <p>2.3.2. Growth Parameters </p> <p>2.3.3. Flowering parameters and so on....</p> <p>10. Statistical analysis </p> <p>3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</p> <p>11.It can be ..</p> <p>3.1. Growth Parameters ...Growth parameters data are shown in Table 1.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

	<p>Please do not repeat them here. These have been presented before and we can see them in Table 1. 12. These information need to be presented in Materials and Methods section. 13. 3.2. Flowering parameters 14. Why capital letter for....plant,.....number.....and so on?</p> <p>In fact, taking into consideration that inside the Table 1, we can see in detail the growth parameters determined, I appreciate that the Table 1 title can be:</p> <p>Growth performance parameters of different varieties of chrysanthemum, at different days after transplanting (DAT)</p> <p>15. For Table 2, I suggest:</p> <p>Flowering parameters performance of different varieties of chrysanthemum</p> <p>16. For Table 3 I suggest: I suggest:</p> <p>Performance of different varieties of chrysanthemum as regard as the flower yield attributes and their quality parameters</p> <p>17. For Table 3, a column named....varieties (as above) is also recommended. 18. the unit measure, please</p> <p>(g)</p> <p>4. CONCLUSION</p> <p>REFERENCES</p> <p>19. Please respect Instructions for authors. Please write them in their apparition order inside the text, beginning with 1.....</p> <p>.....</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>	<p>Some minor necessary changes can be seen on the manuscript, too.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Delian Elena
Department, University & Country	University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine from Bucharest, Romania