

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_89804
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF PRE-SOWING SEED TREATMENT WITH BIO – FERTILIZERS ON PLANT GROWTH AND YIELD ATTRIBUTE TRAITS OF MUSTARD (<i>Brassica nigra</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is good, supported by new research methods and complete data presented. There are several writing deficiencies that need to be fixed: Writing the manuscript is adjusted to the guidelines for the author.</p> <p>Abstract: The sentence structure in the abstract needs to be rearranged: Aims, study design, place and duration of study, methodology, results, and conclusion.</p> <p>Material and methods:</p> <p>-Line 61-64: Methods..... the treatment in this study: T1, T2, T3...etc... consistency of writing the number of treatments in this study: in abstract: in methods: thirteen treatments.. in results: fourteen treatments (line 78) -Methods: Line 66-70: It is necessary to add a method of data collection with subtitle: 1. Method of measuring: Field emergence 2. Plant height 3. Number of primary branches per plant 4. Number of siliqua per plant 5. Number of seeds per siliqua 6. etc</p> <p>Results and discussion: Results -international unit writing in english, also in Table 1, 2, 3, 4 -Line 171-175: The data in Figure 1 is the same as the data in Tables 1, 2,3,4. No need to show again Discussion: Disussion for the result was not clear. -It is necessary to add a citation/reference as a comparison of the results of research that has been carried out for a more detailed discussion</p> <p>Acknowledgments... need to be added Acknowledgments are not available yet, the acknowledgments of people who provided assistance in manuscript preparation, funding for research, etc.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dwi Susanto
Department, University & Country	Mulawarman University, Indonesia