

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_89803
Title of the Manuscript:	Repercussion of seed treatment with bio-fertilizers, bio- stimulants, botanicals on plant growth & yield attributes traits of black mustard (<i>Brassica nigra</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the abstract: improve by starting from the context and adding the objective. In the keywords, use a thesaurus such as unesco's to avoid repeating the words in the title. In the introduction: The first paragraph should go from the general to the particular and separate it by strong ideas. The second paragraph has general information that can go in the first one. Citations and references are missing in this section. In the methodology: it is suggested to eliminate the word "present". It mentions recommended agricultural practices; however, it is necessary to cite this statement or briefly mention what they are. In addition, in the statistical analysis, there is no mention of the software and compliance with the assumptions of the statistical tests, such as the analysis of variance tests used. In conclusion: I suggest eliminating the phrase "it is concluded". Bibliographic references: Citations and references are missing, it is suggested from the last 5 years. Having at least 15 references, since it is now poor with respect to this subject.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lenin Quiñones Huatangari
Department, University & Country	National University of Jaen, Peru