

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_89716
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF DIFFERENT SEED PRIMING METHODS WITH BIO FRETILIZERS AND BOTANICALS ON GROWTH, YIELD AND YIELD ATTRIBUTING TRAITS OF SWEER CORN (Zea mays L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title of the manuscript must be checked for wrong spelling 2. Abstract is not clear, please improve and include conclusion in one/two line 3. In the introduction, Zea mays L. must be italic format. 4. In materials and methods section, authors must elaborate the study area. There should be a figure of the study area with clear coordinates. 5. The authors must also include the details of field management practices and set up of the trial. 6. The author must also include the attributes used in materials and methods section. Details of each and every attributes used and how it was collected at what intervals should also include in the materials and methods. In the tables the authors used HI, SI etc. however not mentioned anywhere in the manuscript about its calculation. Whatever attributes the authors used must be elaborated in details. 7. In the results and discussion section, it is more like results only, the authors has not discussed the findings. Writing "Similar findings were observed" is not enough. 8. Please check the references writing style as few minor variations are there like volume bolded in some references. 9. Check the references cited in literature and should be strictly as per journal format. 10. Few more recent references must be added to describe the content both in introduction and discussion 11. Abbreviation used must be elaborated (Eg LAI). Please check the manuscript for abbreviations. Abbreviations must be spelled out the first time they are mentioned in the abstract and starting again with the introduction section. 12. After seeing your data and the CD value I can see not all the mean value of treatments have significantly different to each other of all the attributes used. For comparing mean data the authors can use alphabets to differentiate each and every mean value of the treatments. Different letters on mean value as significant or same letter as non-significant. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Results and discussion part may be improved by adding few more references for discussing. 2. Authors can elaborate the conclusion and summarise it properly. 	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thounaojam Thomas Meetei
Department, University & Country	Lovely Professional University, India

Review Form 1.6

Review Form 1.6