

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_89641
Title of the Manuscript:	“Efficacy and economics of certain insecticides and neem oil against tomato fruit borer, <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> (Hubner) on tomato”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">In the intro. The author has written 'But in India fruit borer is one of the most important pests of tomato, limiting production and market value of crop produce'. How a pest can be important to a crop????At many places data is presented without any references. This is questionable. (e.g. All over the world this pest alone causes annual loss of 5 billion US dollars, etc.). <p>* There is no mention of how the statistical analyses were done in M & M. Some post hoc tests may be included to make sure that the data of each pesticide are significantly different from each other.</p> <p>*The way the references have been mentioned in the text is wrong as per the format of the journal. The discussion section lacks the discussion essentially in light of the other related studies. Moreover, there are repetition of words in the results and discussion.</p> <p>*Overall, the MS needs major revision.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sharad Vats
Department, University & Country	Banasthali Vidyapith, India