

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_89471
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT OF FRESH VALUE ADDED GUAVA (<i>Psidium guajava</i> L.) AND PAPAYA (<i>Carica papaya</i> L.) NECTAR
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article makes a positive impression, it is written on an actual issue for the region. The article is devoted to the study of fresh value added guava and papaya nectar. The article presents a large amount of data in the tables, so it may be appropriate to show the optimal variants or parameters of the biochemical composition of nectar in the background color in the cell to make it easier to read. In the abstract and conclusions, the author writes about the net return and benefit, but in the main part of the article, the economic characteristics of various nectar recipes are not described.	
Minor REVISION comments	It may be appropriate to arrange the text and tables in a different way to avoid large spaces between the text and table breaks.	
Optional/General comments	There are some technical errors, for example, in the words analyzed, raito, filtration and others. There are also missing spaces between words, unaligned line spacing, and text fields (however, this may be due to different software versions on the computers).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khodanitska Olena
Department, University & Country	Vinnitsia Mykhailo Kotsiubynskyi State Pedagogical University, Ukraine