

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_89448
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF DIFFERENT LEVELS OF YEAST ON PHYSICO-CHEMICAL AND SENSORY PROPERTIES OF GUAVA ( <i>Psidium guajava</i> L.) Cv Allahabad Safeda CIDER DURING STORAGE
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Introduction-Updating the old reference to use new information.</li><li>2. Introduction-Needs to add aim of the study at the end of introduction section also objectives are not specified clearly.</li><li>3. M&amp;M -Add which statistical software and method used for analysis as separate para.</li><li>4. In material and methodology section add department and place of work, as well as detail of procedure for testing of sample..</li><li>5. Result discussion- All the paragraph in result discussion section should rewrite properly, sensory analysis was done properly.</li><li>6. Proven result of the past literature and other related points should/must have at every argument.</li><li>7. Conclusion is very short, conclude the result properly, and then highlight the best conclusion.</li><li>8. Check the formatting of reference once</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Introduction-Mention the research gap</li><li>2. M&amp;M – How the levels of yeast were selected? Include.</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The English language needs improvement throughout the manuscript.</li><li>2. Topic is very good, but some changes are needed, it could be enriched in terms of analysis with proper analysis statistical tools.</li></ol>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ganesh Namdev Shelke</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dr. ASCAE&amp;T, Mahatma Phule Agriculture University Rahuri, India</b>