

Original Research Article

Nutrients Removal in Coconut Plant Components of Cultivar Chowghat Orange Dwarf (COD) and West Coast Tall (WCT)

ABSTRACT

Aims: This research was carried out to study the nutrients removal by different parts of coconut. This palm takes up a lot of nutrients from the ground for growth and development. Removal of nutrients varies from different components of palm. Hence this study was carried out to evaluate the nutrient removal by different parts in coconut.

Study design: The study was carried out in Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) with 2 factors (A and B) and 4 replications.

Place and Duration of Study: This study was carried out at Coconut Orchard Department of Plantation and Spices HC & RI Tamil Nādu Agricultural University Coimbatore during 2021-2022.

Methodology: Here the major nutrient (NPK) removal of two varieties COD and WCT were estimated. The nutrient removal was estimated by collecting plant sample before fertilizer application (initial) and 3rd and 6th months after fertilizer application. These samples were dried powdered and analysed with respective procedures and instruments.

Results: The result revealed that the removal of nutrients from parts of coconut is increased from initial (without fertilizer application) to 3rd and 6th months after fertilizer application in both COD and WCT. There is more removal of potassium compared to nitrogen and phosphorus. The percentage of nutrients removed was 13.52,18.69,21.22 during initial, 3rd month and 6th month in COD as such 16.22,19.8,22.44 in WCT respectively. Comparing with COD the WCT removes more nutrients.

Conclusion: The present study revealed that there was enormous removal of potassium from both varieties COD and WCT. so there is a need to increase the potassium fertilizer application from normal recommended dose or potassium enriched nutrient application is recommended.

Key words: Nutrients removal, NPK, COD, WCT.

1. INTRODUCTION

Coconut (*Cocos nucifera* L.) is one of the most important tropical tree crops in the world and is widely known as the "tree of life" for many communities in different nations because it provides a large number of products that can be used to support the local economy. (Laura Pham). It is placed in palm

Comment [U1]: Abstract should start with a brief introductory part

Comment [U2]: Abbreviations should be avoided in abstract

Comment [U3]: Abstract spacing should be a single line spacing

Comment [U4]: Keywords must not be less than five words

Comment [U5]: Space

Comment [U6]: Recast: two full stops

family (Arecaceae) with chromosome number $2n=32$. Coconut crop as unique versatility because every part of the coconut palm is used, and it produces a range of valuable products, many of which contribute to health maintenance and improvement. (Laura Pham). There are two important varieties in coconut palm depending on their fruit bearing habit. These are the “tall” and “dwarf” coconut trees. Among these two varieties of coconut the tall variety is slow growing. It starts bearing fruits after 6–10 years of planting, and its productivity is maintained even after 80–120 years. The fruits of the tall variety palm mature in 12 months. Whereas the dwarf variety starts bearing fruit within 1–2 years of planting and gains a height of less than half that of the tall variety. (Foale and Roebeling 2006).

Comment [U7]: Delete

Comment [U8]: Reference citation should followed the journal format

Somasiri *et al* (2001) reported that there is a regular removal of plant nutrients in coconut palm along with harvested nuts, fallen fronds and other parts of the inflorescences. Many previous studies have shown that all parts of coconut palm like harvested nuts, fronds and other residue removes a considerable quantity of macro and micro nutrients. Studies on nutrient removal will provide a clear understanding of the rate and amount of nutrient depletion from soil. This nutrient depletion of different eco-systems belonging to different productivity categories will be useful in formulating fertilizer recommendations. (Somasiri *et al* 1994). Information on the rate and amount of nutrient depletion from coconut lands of different yield potentials will be a useful input for developing more logistic approaches to coconut nutrition and soil management. (Somasiri *et al* 2003).

Comment [U9]: Recast to *et al.*,

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Comment [U10]: Space

The experimental research was carried out in 25 years old coconut plantations having 11° N latitude and 77° E longitude at Coconut Nursery, Department of Spices and Plantation crops, Horticulture College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore with elevation of 426 m above mean sea level (MSL). In this study nutrient removal and uptake by coconut was estimated in coconut cultivars COD (Chowghat Orange Dwarf) and WCT (West Coast Tall) planted at a spacing of 7.5m x 7.5m in coconut nursery and their growth characters was also noticed during nutrient removal.

Comment [U11]: Sub-heading needed

2.1. Physico-chemical characteristics of soil.

Soil samples were taken from research fields at various depths of 30 cm, 45 cm, 60 cm, and then they are shade dried, powdered and sieved through a 2mm sieve. The available nutrient contents like nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, micronutrients, pH, EC and Organic carbon were analysed in soil samples. Table 1

Comment [U12]: Reference

2.2. Nutrient concentration analysis of different parts of coconut.

To determine the nutrient contents in different parts of coconut samples were collected *viz.*, for leaf nutrient status estimation leaflet samples were collected from either side of rachis of the 14 frond

and for frond nutrient status estimation same fronds end part is used. Nuts were also collected and separated in husk and shell. These all samples were dried in hot air oven and made into powdered for estimation of different nutrient contents like nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and micronutrients. The nutrient contents are estimated by using the following methods.

Comment [U13]: Reference

Chart 1: Nutrient concentration analysis by different methods

Sl. No	Properties	Methods	Reference
1.	Available nitrogen (Kg ⁻¹ ha)	Alkaline permanganate method	Subbiah and Asija (1956)
2.	Available phosphorus (kg ⁻¹ ha)	Colorimetrically using ammonium molybdate as the colouring agent	Olsen (1954)
3.	Available potassium (kg ⁻¹ ha)	Flame photometry	Jackson (1973)
4.	DTPA extractable micronutrients (viz., Fe, Mn, Zn, Cu) (mg ⁻¹ kg)	Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry (AAS)	Lindsay and Norvell (1978)

2.3. Observation recorded

- No of leaves /frond (pairs), No of inflorescences per palm, Trunk height (m), Trunk girth(diameter)(cm), No. of fronds, No. of bunches, no of nuts / bunches, no of nuts/palm, Whole nut weight(kg), Dehusked nut weight (kg), Husk weight(kg), Shell weight(kg), Weight of entire leaf with fronds (green)kg, Weight of entire leaf with fronds(dried)kg, Volume of nut water(ml).

RESULT**Comment [U14]:** In result, brief preamble should come first before each table**Table 1: Soil analysis of experimental plot at initial stage**

PARAMETER	INITIAL SOIL ANALYSIS			
	WEST COAST TALL		CHOWGHAT ORANGE DWARF	
	VALUE UNIT	COMMENTS	VALUE UNIT	COMMENTS
pH	8.05	Slightly alkaline	8.00	Slightly alkaline
EC	0.20 ds/m	Non saline	0.21 ds/m	Non saline
Available N	213 kg/ha	Low	193 kg/ha	Low
Available P	39 kg/ha	High	23.5 kg/ha	High
Available K	981 kg/ha	High	511 kg/ha	High

The experimental field soil chosen for research contains nitrogen at 213 kg/ha (WCT) and 193 kg/ha (COD) which is found to be low in both the field. The phosphorus at 23.5 kg/ha (COD), 39 kg/ha (WCT) and potassium content of 511 kg/ha (COD), 981 kg/ha (WCT) which is found highest in the field. Both the fields were slightly alkaline with pH range of 8.00-8.30 and EC of 0.20-0.21 ds/m shows it is non saline in nature (Table 1). Soil analysis of these field showed that soil texture is clay loam and sandy clay loam with Calcareous lime status.

Table 2: Growth characters of COD and WCT**Comment [U15]:** Full meaning

S. No	Parameters	COD	WCT
1	No of leaves /frond (pairs)	90.25	94.25
2	No of inflorescences per palm	5-6	8-12

3	Trunk height (m)	8.56	15.25
---	------------------	------	-------

4	Trunk girth(diameter)(cm)	26.77	28.36
5	No. of fronds	16	24.25
6	No. of bunches	3.25	6
7	No of nuts / bunches	5.27	4.15
8	No of nuts / palm	16.5	25
9	Whole nut weight(kg)	0.92	1.12
10	Dehusked nut weight (kg)	0.44	0.56
11	Husk weight(kg)	0.41	0.49
12	Shell weight(kg)	0.16	0.18
13	Weight of entire leaf with fronds (green)kg	3.30	3.45
14	Weight of entire leaf with fronds(dried)kg	1.33	1.51
15	Volume of nut water (ml)	196.1	210

Many growth parameters like no of leaves /frond (pairs), no. of fronds, no. of bunches no of nuts / bunches and no of nuts / palm as 94.25, 24.25,6, 4.15,25 of West Coast tall variety was highest compared to chowghat orange dwarf has 90.25,16.3.25, 5.27,16.5. Though the bearing stage of tall variety is late compared to dwarf variety the yield parameters are higher in tall varieties (Table2).

The removal of N from different parts mean showed that leaf removes a large amount of N in both the varieties (COD -2.16%, WCT- 2.63%) followed by husk. Removal of nitrogen content from plant components was gradually increased from initial (no fertilizer application) to 3rd and 6th month after fertilizer application Table 3.

Removal of phosphorus from different parts is presented in Table 4. The removal of P from different parts mean showed that all parts remove a specific moderate quantity of P at range of 0.20%-0.70%.

Husk which removes 0.67% of P. Removal of phosphorus content from plant components also increased gradually from initial (no fertilizer application) to 3rd and 6th month after fertilizer application.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 3: Nitrogen removal from different parts of COD and WCT varieties of coconut																
N	LEAF				FRONDS				SHELL				HUSK			
Treatments	initial	3 rd month	6 th month	Mean	initial	3 rd month	6 th month	Mean	initial	3 rd month	6 th month	Mean	initial	3 rd month	6 th month	Mean
Nutrient removal in COD	1.95	2.17	2.35	2.16	0.78	1.01	1.06	0.95	0.55	0.34	0.75	0.54	0.87	1.36	1.25	1.16
Nutrient removal in WCT	2.52	2.60	2.76	2.63	1.20	1.28	1.48	1.32	0.92	0.71	0.86	0.83	1.41	1.60	1.75	1.59
MEAN	2.23	2.39	2.56	2.39	0.99	1.15	1.27	1.13	0.73	0.52	0.80	0.69	1.14	1.48	1.50	1.37
	A	B	A*B		A	B	A*B		A	B	A*B		A	B	A*B	
SEd	0.03	0.04	0.05		0.03	0.04	0.06		0.01	0.02	0.02		0.03	0.04	0.05	
CD (0.05)	0.06	0.08	0.11		0.07	0.09	0.12		0.03	0.04	0.05		0.06	0.08	0.11	

Table 4: Phosphorus removal from different parts of COD and WCT varieties of coconut

P	LEAF				FRONDS				SHELL				HUSK			
Treatments	initial	3 rd month	6 th month	Mean	initial	3 rd month	6 th month	Mean	initial	3 rd month	6 th month	Mean	initial	3 rd month	6 th month	Mean
Nutrient removal in COD	0.13	0.18	0.35	0.22	0.26	0.23	0.47	0.32	0.04	0.06	0.12	0.07	0.49	0.40	0.68	0.52


Nutrient removal in WCT	0.55	0.40	0.61	0.52	0.70	0.44	0.68	0.61	0.27	0.15	0.28	0.23	0.83	0.73	0.87	0.81
MEAN	0.34	0.29	0.48	0.37	0.48	0.33	0.58	0.46	0.15	0.10	0.20	0.15	0.66	0.57	0.78	0.67
	A	B	A*B		A	B	A*B		A	B	A*B		A	B	A*B	
SEd	0.01	0.01	0.02		0.01	0.01	0.01		0.01	0.01	0.01		0.01	0.01	0.02	
CD (0.05)	0.02	0.03	0.04		0.02	0.02	0.03		0.01	0.02	0.02		0.02	0.03	0.04	

Table 5: Potassium removal from different parts of COD and WCT varieties of coconut

K	LEAF				FRONDS				SHELL				HUSK			
	initial	3 rd month	6 th month	Mean	initial	3 rd month	6 th month	Mean	initial	3 rd month	6 th month	Mean	initial	3 rd month	6 th month	Mean
Nutrient removal in COD	0.60	0.54	0.83	0.65	1.47	1.55	1.80	1.61	0.51	0.37	0.73	0.53	7.77	7.72	8.29	7.93
Nutrient removal in WCT	0.96	0.81	0.93	0.90	1.93	1.83	1.97	1.91	0.89	0.71	0.85	0.81	8.47	8.22	8.37	8.35
MEAN	0.78	0.67	0.88	0.78	1.70	1.69	1.88	1.76	0.70	0.54	0.79	0.67	8.12	7.97	8.33	8.14
	A	B	A*B		A	B	A*B		A	B	A*B		A	B	A*B	

SEd	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.11	0.13	0.19
CD (0.05)	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.23	0.29	0.40

UNDER PEER REVIEW



The amount of K removed from different parts of coconut palm is presented in Table 5. Potassium one of the important major nutrients was the highest removed compared to other major nutrients N and P. Husk is a part in coconut which removes enormous amount of K (8.14%). Other all parts remove only small quantity. Potassium removal also slowly increased from initial (without fertilizer application) to 3rd and 6th months after fertilizer application.

DISCUSSION

The coconut palm extracts various amounts of macronutrients from different sections of the plant. The nuts removed far more K and N than P. K depletion may occur quickly through exchangeable soil by fallen plant components and harvested nuts in the plantation if K fertiliser is applied at a greater rate alone with other N and P fertilisers. (Silva *et al* 1994)

Despite applying the prescribed fertiliser, the depletion rate of exchangeable K in the soil would be 38.3 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ at a production rate of 7,500 nuts ha⁻¹yr⁻¹. As a result, the depletion should be compensated for either by increasing the amount of chemical fertiliser used or by recycling the palm's organic components. (Somasiri *et al* 2001 and 2003).

Application of extra 80 kg ha⁻¹ of MOP would be sufficient to fill the gap between K removal and input by fertilizer. It is important to note that K input to soil at the recommended rate of both fertilizer and a mulch with all the fallen coconut fronds would be 156.7 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, which is still less than the rate of through uptake by the palm. (Nadheesh *et al*)

If all fallen fronds and inflorescences applied as mulch to the manure circles and incorporated to the soil, the rate of depletion of K would be decreased considerably.

Husk the part of coconut removes higher amount of K. This can be overcome by practicing mulch of fresh husk in the manure circle because the husk contains 35% of water-soluble K. this would help in saving inorganic fertilizers and compensate the effect of depletion.

CONCLUSION

The present study revealed that different parts of coconut remove different amount of major nutrients in which Potassium was the one removed highest among others. Both COD and WCT removes highest amount of K. comparing overall removal West Coast Tall removes higher amount of nutrients than Chowghat Orange Dwarf. So, there is need for increased application of fertilizer dose from recommended dose especially for potassium.

Comment [U16]: More detail discussion is needed, plus compurgation with other similar researches

Comment [U17]: Conclusion should be recast and presented in a better and brief manner

REFERENCE

Foale, M., Roebeling, P., 2006. In: Adkins, S.W., Foale, M., Samosiri, Y.M.S. (Eds.), In Coconut Revival—New Possibilities for the 'Tree of Life.' Proceedings of the International Coconut Forum, Cairns, Australia, November 22–24, 2005. Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research, 2. Canberra, pp. 11–47 (The International Coconut Genetic Resources Network (COGENT): its history, achievements and future plans).

Jackson, M. 1973. Soil Chemical Analysis. (Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd.) pp: 183-204.

Laura J. Pham Coconut (*Cocos nucifera*).

Lindsay, W. L. And Norvell, W. A. 1978. Development of DTPA soil test for Zn, Fe, Mn and Cu., Proceedings of Soil Science Society of America, 42: 421-428.

Nadheesha and Tennakoon.A (2003). 'Removal of Micronutrients from High and Moderate Yielding Coconut Plantations in Sri Lanka.' Soils and Plant Nutrition Division, Coconut Research Institute, Bandirippuwa Estate, Lunuwila.

Olsen, S. R. 1954. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate: US Department of Agriculture. 72-78.

Silva, M. A.T.D., Mendis, B. J. A. E, Appuhamy; P.A.D.G. and Geoge, G.D. (1974). ' Micronutrients in the nutrition of coconut; Ceylon Cocon. Q. 25:128-138.

Somasiri, L. L. W., Nadaraja, N. Amarasinghe, L; and Gunathilake H.A.J. (1994). Land suitability assessment of coconut growing areas in the coconut triangle, Coconut Research Institute, Lunuwila, Sri Lanka.

Somasiri, L. L. W., Wijebandara, D. M. D. I., Pandithratne, B. D. P., Sabaratnam, S., Kurudukubura, C. P. A. and Pathirana, K. P. A. (2001). Study on nutrient removal by Tipica x Tipica coconut palms in high potential lands. Proceedings of the Sri Lanka Association for the advancement; of science 57 (1): 40.

Somasiri, L. L. W., Wijebandara, D. M. D. I., Pandithratne, B. D. P., Sabaratnam, S. and Kurudukubura, C. P. A. (2003). Losses of, nutrient in a high yielding coconut Plantation i through removal of plant materials from the \ field. Cocos 15: 12-22.

Somasiri, L.L.W. (1987). Fertilizer increases your • coconut yield. Coconut Bulletin 4:15-22.

Subbiah, B., and G. Asija. 1956. A rapid method for the estimation of nitrogen in soil. Journal of Current Science, 26(9):259-260.

Comment [U18]: Current references are needed

Comment [U19]: Reference must be revised and reinforced, plus journal format should be use throughout the manuscript

Comment [U20]: Should be revised and corrected, using a single and correct referencing format and style