

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ IJPSS_ 89101
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different levels of nutrients on plant growth, yield and quality of Lettuce (<i>Lactuca sativa</i>) in NFT (Nutrient Film Technique) system of hydroponics under shade net condition.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajssp.com/index.php/AJSSPN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Title: The title is informative ▪ Abstract: The abstract is adequately addressed ▪ Introduction: The introduction is concise, and clear) ▪ Materials and Methods: The Methods section is currently addressed. ▪ Results: The results are clearly presented. ▪ Discussion: The discussion is well written and adequately addressed. ▪ The manuscript is well written. The purpose, methods and results are clearly presented. ▪ Conclusions: The conclusion is very conclusive, since based on a small sample size. (Appropriate and adequate references to related works covered sufficiently in the list). 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tables: The titles of the tables should be more informative. They are vital and quite well. • Tables are significant and well-presented. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This is well and acceptable, and it contributes to the Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition in a valuable way. 	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ This work does not have any ethical difficulties. (The ethical issues such as plagiarism, fraudulent and duplicate publication, violation of copyrights, authorship and conflict of interest).	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Bakiyaraj
Department, University & Country	GAC (A), India