

Original Research Article

Response of NaCl and brassinolide in *Stevia rebaudiana* under *in vitro* condition

Comment [U1]: Brassinolide

ABSTRACT

The current work sought to investigate the impact of two chemicals (NaCl and brassinolide) on growth parameters, chlorophyll pigments in stevia shoots cultured under *in vitro* condition. For this, nodal explants of stevia were cultured for four weeks on MS medium supplemented with 0.2 mg l⁻¹ BAP, Kinetin 0.2 mg l⁻¹ and various concentrations of NaCl (0, 60, 70, 90, 120, 150 mM) and BL (0, 1, 2, 3 4, 5µM) for screening under *in vitro* condition. Explant treated with NaCl showed reduction with increasing salinity levels in all the studied parameters while explants treated with brassinolide showed best results in all the studied parameters. From our results, it is concluded that the stevia plant was less impacted by 60mM and 70mM NaCl concentrations when compared to other concentrations of NaCl, but BL increased/improved all the examined parameters when compared to control; 1µM and 2µM concentrations of BL responded the best.

Comment [U2]: Brassinolide

Comment [U3]:

Comment [U4]: From the results

Keywords: Brassinolide (BL), growth parameters, *in vitro*, photosynthetic pigments, salinity stress, Stevia

1. INTRODUCTION

Stevia rebaudiana Bertoni is a tiny shrub of the Asteraceae family. Attempts to cultivate the plant in Paraguay immediately after Bertoni's discovery were unsuccessful because it was difficult to grow from cuttings because the seeds were sterile [1]. It is used as a natural sweetener in foodstuff. Due to the high demand for stevia, a cost-effective technology for mass-producing plant materials is required.

Comment [U5]: Use journal format for referrencing

Soil salinity is a key agricultural constraint because it restricts plant growth and crop output [2]. Because of the high levels of salt (Na⁺) in the soil, most crop species have a difficult time surviving and resuming their normal development processes [3, 4]. Several investigations on the impact of salt on stevia have recently been conducted and found to be influenced by varying degrees of salinity, indicating that this plant is relatively sensitive to salt stress [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. Salt-stressed plants have fewer shoots, smaller dry leaves, and stunted growth, as well as the

Comment [U6]:

Comment [U7]:

Comment [U8]:

breakdown of plant pigments such as chlorophyll and carotenoids, which disrupt the photosynthetic machinery and impede overall growth [10, 11]. As a result, it's critical to develop a new strategy for increasing plant salinity resistance.

Comment [U9]:

Brassinolide (BL) is a naturally occurring plant steroid that has pleiotropic effects [12]. In the past few decades, BL has been known as the main strategy for enhancing crop tolerance to abiotic stress. Singh et al. [13] reported the feedback of brassinosteroids and their analogs under *in vitro* conditions. BL is necessary for plant growth and development, cell division, cell elongation, photosynthesis as well as conferring resistance to biotic and abiotic stressors [14, 15, 16].

Comment [U10]:

Comment [U11]: italics

Comment [U12]:

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the performance of stevia plants under salinity stress *in vitro*, and to assess the effectiveness of brassinolide in improving this NaCl induced stress responses, using different concentrations of NaCl and brassinolide.

Comment [U13]:

Comment [U14]: objective

Comment [U15]:

Comment [U16]:

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was undertaken in the Laboratory of Plant Tissue Culture and Stress Physiology, Department of Plant Physiology, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India. Healthy plants of *S. rebaudiana* were collected from local nurseries. For selection and screening of best concentration of NaCl and BL, nodal segment (1.5-2 cm long) was employed as an explant that was cultivated in half strength Murashige and Skoog, 1962) [17]. MS media was supplemented with hormones 0.2 mgL⁻¹ BAP, 0.2 mgL⁻¹ Kinetin. The cultures were incubated in culture room at 25±2°C with a photoperiod 16 h light and 8 h dark having 25 µMol m⁻² s⁻¹ light intensity provided by cool fluorescent tube lights. The relative humidity of about 70-75% was maintained in culture room throughout the period.

Comment [U17]: This should be under 'The Study Area'

Treatment details are as follow:

Concentrations used for *in vitro* screening of NaCl treatment: 0 mM, 60 mM, 70 mM, 90 mM, 120 mM, 150 mM gL⁻¹

Concentrations used for *in vitro* screening of BL treatment: 0 µM, 1 µM, 2 µM, 3 µM, 4 µM gL⁻¹

Comment [U18]: This should be under "Experimental Procedures"

2.1 Observations recorded

Comment [U19]: These should be under 'Results and Discussions'

All these tests were carried out in three replicates. Following a 4-week incubation period in the presence of NaCl and BL, the following parameters were evaluated:

2.2 Days taken for primordial initiation after culture

The days taken for primordial initiation were counted just days after inoculation (DAI) of explants for screening experiment of NaCl concentrations. The observation was carried out till all the explants got regenerated.

2.3 Length of shoot (cm) explant⁻¹

The shoot length of explants was measured from the base of the explants to the tip of the shoot and average length was calculated on per explant basis and expressed in centimeter (cm).

2.4 Number of leaves explant⁻¹

The total number of leaves per explants was counted and average was calculated.

2.5 Survival Percentage (%)

The survival percentage was calculated using the given formula:

$$\text{Survival Percentage} = \frac{\text{Number of explants survived}}{\text{Total number of explants}} \times 100$$

Comment [U20]: Equation number?

2.6 Fresh weight of shoot (mg g⁻¹)

The fresh weight of shoots was measured and expressed in mg g⁻¹ for normal and salinity grown plants. The plant was removed from the test tubes containing media. These were immediately transferred to the lab for measurement of fresh weight of shoot. Shoots were separated before fresh weight was assessed via a Sartorius BT-224S electronic balance.

2.7 Dry weight of shoot (mg g⁻¹)

Freshly weighed shoots were placed in the envelopes after which these were placed in a Hot Air Oven for one hour at 100°C. The temperature was then decreased to 65°C until the constant weights of the samples could be achieved.

2.8 Photosynthetic pigments (mg g⁻¹ FW)

Lichanthalder (1984) method for estimating chlorophyll and carotenoid levels was used.

2.9 Statistical Analysis

Data were analysed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS 26.0 for windows software package and the means were separated by Duncan's multiple range tests (DMRT).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In vitro screening of NaCl data revealed that increasing salinity stress significantly reduced the days of primordial initiation, shoot length, number of leaves, fresh and dry weight,

chlorophyll a, b, carotenoid content and survival percent in the entire treatment (60-150 mM) (Table 1; Fig 1)

Comment [U21]: Figure 1

In case of days of primordial initiation, the number of days taken for initiation of shoot primordia was found lower at high concentration (150 mM) and higher at lower concentration (60 mM) when compared to control (0 mM). In case of shoot length, lowest value was found in higher concentration of NaCl (150 mM) with around 62.06% reduction. While at lower salinity level (60 mM), reduction of 13.79% was observed. In leaf number, the lowest value was found in higher concentration of NaCl (150 mM) (73.68% reduction). While at lower salinity level (60 mM) the reduction was only 21.05%. This is in agreement with the previous research where shoot length, number of leaves decreased when salinity stress was applied to *in vitro* cultured stevia plant [9, 19].

Comment [U22]:

In case of fresh weight, lowest value was found in higher concentration of NaCl (150 mM) with around 63.82% reduction. While at lower salinity level (60 mM), reduction of 29.78% was observed. In dry weight, lowest value was found in higher concentration of NaCl (150 mM) with around 70% reduction. While at lower salinity level (60 mM) reduction of 20% was observed. Similar studies of reduction in fresh weight of shoot was reported by Ors et al. [20] in tomato, Dolatabadian et al. [21] in soybean, and reduction in dry weight by Dong et al. [22] in sugarbeet, Ibrahim et al. [23] in Indian Pennywort.

Comment [U23]:

Comment [U24]:

Comment [U25]:

Comment [U26]:

In case of chlorophyll-a content, lowest value was found at NaCl (150 mM) with around 88.42% reduction. While at lower salinity level (60 mM) reduction of 57.89% was observed. In chlorophyll-b content, lowest value was found in higher concentration of NaCl (150 mM) with around 79.16% reduction. While at lower salinity level (60 mM) reduction of 23.61% was observed. In case of carotenoid content, lowest value was found in higher concentration of NaCl (150 mM) with around 72.54% reduction. While at lower salinity level (60 mM) reduction of 13.72% was observed. Similar findings were reported in stevia [5, 24, 25].

Comment [U27]: ?

With regard to survival percentage, the lowest value was found in higher concentration of NaCl (150 mM) with about 71% reduction. While at lower salinity level (60 mM) reduction of 21% was noticed. Our findings are supported by Al-Taey et al. [26] in sweet orange, Lotfi et al. [27] in pistachio and Nabati et al. [28] in lentil.

Comment [U28]: ?

Comment [U29]:

Comment [U30]:

Table 1. Effect of different concentrations of sodium chloride (NaCl) on different parameters in *Stevia rebaudiana* Bertoni cultured under *in vitro* condition after 30 days of culturing

NaCl (mM)	DPI	Shoot Length (cm)	Leaf number	Fresh Weight (mg g ⁻¹)	Dry Weight (mg g ⁻¹)	Chlorophyll-a (mg g ⁻¹ FW)	Chlorophyll-b (mg g ⁻¹ FW)	Carotenoid (mg g ⁻¹ FW)	Survival %
0	2.00±0.15a	2.9±0.15a	19±1.53a	0.47±0.02a	0.10±0.01a	1.90±0.02a	0.72±0.02a	0.51±0.02a	100±1.53a
60	3.21±0.06b	2.5±0.06b	15±1.00b	0.33±0.01b	0.08±0.01b	0.80±0.02b	0.55±0.05b	0.44±0.03b	79±1.00b
70	3.42±0.06b	2.4±0.06b	12±0.58c	0.30±0.03c	0.07±0.00c	0.63±0.02c	0.42±0.04c	0.39±0.01c	68±0.58c
90	4.50±0.10c	2.3±0.10c	10±1.00d	0.25±0.01d	0.06±0.00d	0.42±0.02d	0.31±0.01d	0.35±0.01d	56±1.00d
120	5.30±0.10d	1.5±0.10d	8±1.00e	0.21±0.02e	0.05±0.00e	0.33±0.02e	0.21±0.02e	0.24±0.02e	50±1.02e
150	6.89±0.15e	1.1±0.15e	5±1.00f	0.17±0.02f	0.03±0.01f	0.22±0.03f	0.15±0.01f	0.14±0.02f	29±1.00f

where, NaCl=Sodium chloride, DPI=Days of primodia initiation. Data are in the form of mean±SEM, and means followed by the same letters within the columns are not significantly different at P≤0.05 using Duncan's multiple range test.




Fig. 1. Effect of different concentrations of sodium chloride (NaCl) on stevia

Comment [U31]: Figure 1

In vitro screening of BL data revealed that brassinolide significantly increased shoot length, number of leaves, fresh and dry weight, chlorophyll a, b and carotenoid content in the entire treatment (60-150mM) (Table 2; Fig. 2).

Comment [U32]: Figure 2

Data revealed that increasing brassinolide increased the shoot length in the entire treatment (1µM to 5µM) as compared to control. The lowest value was found in higher concentration of BL (5

μM) with about 9.54% increment. While lower brassinolide level (1 μM) could increased shoot length by 59.01%. In case of leaf number, lowest value was found in higher concentration of BL (5 μM) (9.54%) reduction. While lower brassinolide level (2 μM) increased the value by 34.50%. These findings are in agreement with those of Chen et al. [29] in pear, Ghanbarali et al. [30]; Harshlata and Sai [31] in potato, Chavan et al. [32] in sugarcane; Pereira Netto et al. [33] in apple, in case of shoot length while Taha et al. [34] in bean; Chavan et al. [32] in sugarcane; Harshlata Tomar and Sai [31] in potato, in case of number of leaves.

Comment [U33]:

Comment [U34]:

Comment [U35]:

Comment [U36]:

Comment [U37]:

Comment [U38]:

Comment [U39]:

Comment [U40]:

The fresh weight, at higher concentration of BL (5 μM) recorded about 9.75% increment. While lower brassinolide level (2 μM) increased fresh weight by 48.78%. The dry weight, recorded lowest value in higher concentration of BL (5 μM) with about 50% increment. While lower brassinolide level (2 μM) increased dry weight by 10%. This is in agreement with the previous studies where foliar spraying of brassinolide in chinese cucumber, peppermint increased the fresh and dry weight [35, 36].

Comment [U41]:

Comment [U42]:

Comment [U43]:

In case of chlorophyll-a content, lowest value was found in higher concentration of BL (5 μM) with about 2% increment compared to control. While lower brassinolide level (2 μM) was increased Chl-a by 4.7%. In case of chlorophyll-b content, lowest value was found in higher concentration of BL (5 μM) with about only 1.39% increase. While at lower brassinolide level (2 μM), increase of 20.83% was noticed compared to control. Similar studies were observed by Zhang et al. [37] in soybean; Wang et al. [38] in capsicum; Sun et al. [39] in maize.

Comment [U44]:

Comment [U45]:

Comment [U46]:

Comment [U47]:

Comment [U48]:

Comment [U49]:

Comment [U50]:

Comment [U51]:

The lowest value for carotenoid content was found in higher concentration (5 μM BL) with about 5.76% increment. While lower brassinolide level (2 μM) was increased the value by 3.84%. Similar findings was reported in watercress by Tang et al. [40].

Table 2. Effect of different concentrations brassinolide (BL) on different parameters in *Stevia rebaudiana* Bertoni cultured under *in vitro* condition after 30 days of culturing

Comment [U52]:

BL (μM)	Shoot length (cm)	Leaf number	Fresh Weight (mg g^{-1})	Dry Weight (mg g^{-1})	Chlorophyll-a (mg g^{-1})	Chlorophyll-b (mg g^{-1})	Carotenoid (mg g^{-1})
0	2.83 \pm 0.06f	19.33 \pm 1.50de	0.41 \pm 0.01f	0.10 \pm 0.01d	1.90 \pm 0.01a	0.72 \pm 0.02c	0.52 \pm 0.01c
1	4.50 \pm 0.10a	26.00 \pm 0.60b	0.59 \pm 0.01b	0.15 \pm 0.00b	1.98 \pm 0.00ab	0.85 \pm 0.03a	0.54 \pm 0.01a
2	4.20 \pm 0.00b	31.00 \pm 1.00a	0.61 \pm 0.01a	0.18 \pm 0.01a	1.99 \pm 0.01a	0.87 \pm 0.02a	0.55 \pm 0.01a
3	4.10 \pm 0.10cb	21.00 \pm 1.00c	0.51 \pm 0.02c	0.13 \pm 0.00c	1.97 \pm 0.01bc	0.77 \pm 0.01c	0.52 \pm 0.01c
4	3.90 \pm 0.10c	17.00 \pm 1.53e	0.47 \pm 0.01d	0.13 \pm 0.00c	1.95 \pm 0.01dc	0.76 \pm 0.02c	0.51 \pm 0.01bc
5	3.10 \pm 0.30d	9.00 \pm 1.00f	0.45 \pm 0.01e	0.11 \pm 0.00d	1.94 \pm 0.01d	0.73 \pm 0.02c	0.50 \pm 0.01c

where, **BL**=Brassinolide Data are in the form of mean \pm SEM, and means followed by the same letters within the columns are not significantly different at $P\leq 0.05$ using Duncan's multiple range test.




Fig. 2. Effect of different concentrations of brassinolide (BL) on stevia

Comment [U53]: Figure 2

4. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the findings, it can be concluded that *in vitro* salinity stress caused by NaCl significantly lowered all of the examined parameters, with stevia being less affected by 60 and 70 mM NaCl in comparison to other concentrations of NaCl. Also, treatment with brassinolide (BL) was found to significantly improve all the examined parameters, with 1 μM and 2 μM being the best of all the BL concentrations used. Hence our findings could be beneficial for future studies of BR response to stevia plants which have proven to have potential role in *in vitro* cultivation on stevia plants production. Additionally, the salinity screening at different

concentrations could further be required for effect on biochemical and molecular mechanisms. Nevertheless, dose dependent salinity response in stevia plants needs further research, deciphering the mechanism involved along with economically important metabolites.

DISCLAIMER

The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly used in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

REFERENCES

1. Soejarto DD, Kinghorn AD and Farnsworth NR. Potential sweetening agents of plant origin. III. Organoleptic evaluation of Stevia leaf herbarium samples for sweetness. J. Nat. Prod. 1982;45(5):590-599. <https://doi.org/10.1021/np50023a013>
2. Habib SH, Kausar H and Saud HM. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria enhance salinity stress tolerance in okra through ROS-scavenging enzymes. BioMed Res. Int. 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/6284547>
3. Kamran M, Parveen A, Ahmar S, Malik Z, Hussain S, Chattha MS and Chen JT. An overview of hazardous impacts of soil salinity in crops, tolerance mechanisms, and amelioration through selenium supplementation. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2019;21(1):148. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21010148>
4. Panuccio MR, Jacobsen SE, Akhtar SS and Muscolo A. Effect of saline water on seed germination and early seedling growth of the halophyte quinoa. AoB plants. 2014;6. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/plu047>
5. Zeng J, Chen A, Li D, Yi B and Wu W. Effects of salt stress on the growth, physiological responses, and glycoside contents of *Stevia rebaudiana* Bertoni. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2013;61(24):5720-5726. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf401237x>
6. Shahverdi MA, Omidi H and Tabatabaei SJ. Stevia (*Stevia rebaudiana* Bertoni) responses to NaCl stress: Growth, photosynthetic pigments, diterpene glycosides and ion content in

root and shoot. J. Saudi Soc. Agric. Sci. 2019;18(4):355-360.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssas.2017.12.001>

7. Forouzi A, Ghasemnezhad A and Nasrabad, RG. Phytochemical response of Stevia plant to growth promoting microorganisms under salinity stress. S. Afr. J. Bot. 2020;134:109-118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2020.04.001>
8. Kurunc A, Aslan GE, Karaca C, Tezcan A, Turgut K, Karhan M and Kaplan B. Effects of salt source and irrigation water salinity on growth, yield and quality parameters of *Stevia rebaudiana* Bertoni. Sci. Hortic. 2020;270:109458.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2020.109458>
9. Azzam CR, Al-Taweel SK, Abdel-Aziz RM, Rabea KM, Abou-Sreea AI, Rady MM and Ali EF. Salinity effects on gene expression, morphological, and physio-biochemical responses of *stevia rebaudiana* bertoni *in vitro* plants. 2021;10(4):820.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10040820>
10. Ashour HA, Esmail SEA and Kotb MS. Alleviative effects of chitosan or humic acid on *Vitex trifolia* 'Purpurea' grown under salinity stress. Ornam. Hortic. 2020;27:88-102.
<https://doi.org/10.1590/2447-536X.v27i1.2157>
11. Neji I, Rajhi I, Baccouri B, Barhoumi F, Amri M and Mhadhbi H. Leaf photosynthetic and biomass parameters related to the tolerance of *Vicia faba* L. cultivars to salinity stress. Euro-Mediterr. J. Environ. Integr. 2021;6(1):1-11.
12. Grove MD, Spencer GF, Rohwedder WK, Mandava N, Worley JF, Warthen J.D and Cook JC. Brassinolide, a plant growth-promoting steroid isolated from *Brassica napus* pollen. Nature. 1979;281(5728):216-217.
13. Singh A, Dwivedi P, Kumar V and Pandey DK. Brassinosteroids and their analogs: Feedback in plants under *in vitro* condition. S. Afr. J. Bot. 2021;143:256-265.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2021.08.008>
14. Sasse JM. Physiological actions of brassinosteroids: an update. S. Afr. J. Bot. 2003; 22(4):276-288.

15. Manghwar H, Hussain A, Ali Q and Liu F. Brassinosteroids (BRs) Role in Plant Development and Coping with Different Stresses. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022;23(3):1012. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23031012>
16. Jakhar S, Rani K and Singh S. Brassinosteroids: A Wonder Growth Regulator to Alleviate Abiotic Stresses in Plants. In *Plant Stress: Challenges and Management in the New Decade* 2022;97-110. Springer, Cham.
17. Murashige T and Skoog F. A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. *Physiol. Plant*; 1962;15:474-497.
18. Lichtenthaler HK. Chlorophylls and carotenoids: pigments of photosynthetic biomembranes. In *Methods in enzymology*. 1987;148:350-382. Academic Press.
19. Gupta P, Sharma S and Saxena S. Effect of abiotic stress on growth parameters and steviol glycoside content in *Stevia rebaudiana* (Bertoni) raised *in vitro*. *J. Appl. Res. Med. Aromat. Plants*. 2016;3(4):160-167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmap.2016.03.004>
20. Ors S, Ekinçi M, Yildirim E, Sahin U, Turan M and Dursun A. Interactive effects of salinity and drought stress on photosynthetic characteristics and physiology of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.) seedlings. *S. Afr. J. Bot.* 2021;137:335-339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2020.10.031>
21. Dolatabadian A, Sanavy Samm and Ghanati F. Effect of salinity on growth, xylem structure and anatomical characteristics of soybean. *Not. Sci. Biol.* 2011;3(1):41-45. <https://doi.org/10.15835/nsb315627>
22. Dong X, Sun L, Guo J, Liu L, Han G and Wang B. Exogenous boron alleviates growth inhibition by NaCl stress by reducing Cl⁻ uptake in sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris*). *Plant and Soil*. 2021;464(1):423-439.
23. Ibrahim MH, Shibli NI, Izad AA and Zain NAM. Growth, chlorophyll fluorescence, leaf gas exchange and phytochemicals of *Centella asiatica* exposed to salinity stress. *Ann Res Rev Biol*. 2018;27:1–13. DOI: 10.9734/ARRB/2018/41014
24. Cantabella D, Piqueras A, Acosta-Motos JR, Bernal-Vicente A, Hernández JA and Díaz-Vivancos, P. Salt-tolerance mechanisms induced in *Stevia rebaudiana* Bertoni: Effects on

mineral nutrition, antioxidative metabolism and steviol glycoside content. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 2017;115:484-496. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2017.04.023>

25. Janah I, Elhasnaoui A, Issa Ali O, Lamnai K, Aissam S and Loutfi K. Physiochemical responses of *Stevia rebaudiana* Bertoni subjected to sodium chloride (NaCl) salinity and exogenous salicylic acid application. *Gesunde Pflanzen.* 2021;73(4):509-520.
26. Al-Taey DK, AlAzawi, SS and Husien M. H. Effect of spraying acetyl salicylic acid on the plant tolerance for salt stress & survival percentage after transplanting of orange (*Citrus sinensis*). *Babylon journal university-Pure and Applied science.* 2010;18(4): 1513-1520.
27. Lotfi A, Jahanbakhshian Z, Faghihi F and Seyedi SM. The effect of salinity stress on survival percentage and physiological characteristics in three varieties of pistachio (*Pistacia vera*). *Biologia.* 2015;70(9):1185-1192. <https://doi.org/10.1515/biolog-2015-0135>
28. Nabati J, Goldani M, Mohammadi M, Mirmiran SM and Asadi A. Evaluation of Response of Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.) Genotypes to Salinity Stress under Controlled Conditions. *Journal of Soil and Plant Interactions-Isfahan University of Technology.* 2022;12(4):73-91. <http://jspi.iut.ac.ir/article-1-1587-en.html>
29. Chen BY, Wang CH, Chu QG, Tian YK, Sun JX and Xu YS. Effect of exogenous brassinolide on growth and anatomical characteristics for stems and leaves of dwarf pear *in vitro*. *Northern Horticulture.* 2014;11(2).
30. Ghanbarali S, Abdollahi MR, Zolnorian H, Moosavi SS and Seguí-Simarro JM. Optimization of the conditions for production of synthetic seeds by encapsulation of axillary buds derived from minituber sprouts in potato (*Solanum tuberosum*). *PCTOC.* 2016;126(3):449-458.
31. Harshlata GS Tomar and Sai S. Affect of brassinosteroid on *in vitro* proliferation and vegetative growth of potato, *J. Pharm. Innov.* 2018:04-09.
32. Chavan CS, Chavan SS, Velhal RS, Patil AG, Deshmukh LP and Bapat VA. Effect of Brassinolide on *in vitro* growth of sugarcane Co 86032 (*Saccharum spp.*). In AIP Conference Proceeding. 2018;(1989):1-030004. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5047722>

33. Pereira-Netto AB, Ramírez JA and Galagovsky LR. Alpha monofluoro substitution at C5 in homotphyasterol enhances shoot production and multiplication rate of *in vitro*-grown marubakaido apple rootstock shoots. *Trees*, 2019;33(3):963-972.
34. Taha SS and Osman AS. Influence of potassium humate on biochemical and agronomic attributes of bean plants grown on saline soil. *The Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology*. 2018;93(5):545-554. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14620316.2017.1416960>
35. Çoban Ö, Baydar NG. Brassinosteroid modifies growth and essential oil production in peppermint (*Mentha piperita* L.). *J. Plant Growth Regul.* 2017;36(1):43-49.
36. Wu Y, Wang X, Qiao X and Gao Q. Brassinolide alleviates glufosinate-ammonium toxicity by enhancing photosynthesis and nitrogen metabolism in *Trichosanthes kirilowii*. *Pak. J. Bot*, 2022;54(2):379-385. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.30848/PJB2022-2\(4\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.30848/PJB2022-2(4))
37. Zhang M, Zhai Z, Tian X, Duan L and Li Z. Brassinolide alleviated the adverse effect of water deficits on photosynthesis and the antioxidant of soybean (*Glycine max* L.). *Plant Growth Regul.* 2008;56(3):257-264.
38. Wang Q, Ding T, Gao L, Pang J and Yang N. Effect of brassinolide on chilling injury of green bell pepper in storage. *Sci. Hortic. Sci*, 2012;144:195-200. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2012.07.018>
39. Sun S, Yao X, Liu X, Qiao Z, Liu Y, Li X and Jiang X. Brassinolide can improve drought tolerance of maize seedlings under drought stress: By inducing the photosynthetic performance, antioxidant capacity and ZmMYB gene expression of maize seedlings. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 2022;1-13.
40. Tang W, Xiao L, Peng X, Liu H, Zhu Y and Zheng Y. Effects of brassinolide on cadmium accumulation and growth of emerged accumulator plant *Nasturtium officinale*. *Chem Ecol.* 2022;1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02757540.2022.2066086>

Comment [U54]: Referencing should be according journal format