

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_88994
Title of the Manuscript:	Residual effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on growth and yield Toria in North Central Plateau Zone of Odisha
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Improve the abstract: write clearly the objective in the abstract;</p> <p>Improve the Introduction: write scientific names in italics; take better care of the text: be careful with punctuation, paragraphs, spaces and writing; the paragraph "Panwar (2018)..." must go to the discussion; write clearly you objective in the last paragraph; why "was ventured"? It's not necessary;</p> <p>Improve the Material and Method: I don't understand why give a title to the experiment, it's really necessary?; make a topic "study area"; not write results in the material and method;</p> <p>Improve the Results and Discussion: not write material and method information in the results (ex.: "Plant height and number of leaves per plants determined the growth of the plant"); search for most recent citations for discussion; place the tables after citing them in the text;</p> <p>Improve the Conclusion: use your own words in the discussion, leave the quote for discussion;</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is good, but it needs to improve on several points. The author needs to review and improve all the points mentioned above for his article to continue in the publication process.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rafael Machado de Araújo Alves
Department, University & Country	University Federal of Paraíba (UFPB), Brazil