

Effect of Different Sowing Environment on Growth Parameters, Yield and Yield Components of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) varieties

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during *Rabi* season of 2019-20 in sandy loam soil of C.S.A. University of Agriculture and Technology, Nawabganj, Kanpur (U.P.). The experiment consisted nine treatments combinations comprised of three sowing date/ sowing temperature *viz.*, sowing on November 10 with temperature 22⁰C, November 20 with temperature 17⁰C and November 30 with temperature 16⁰C and three variety *viz.*, KWR-108, KPG-59 and KGD-1168. Results revealed that sowing temperature 22⁰C which occurred on November 10 in combination with variety KGD-1168 produced significantly higher growth parameters such as plant population, plant height, number of branches per plant, dry matter accumulation and crop growth rate. It is also evident from data that variety KGD-1168 sown on November 10 has been associated with highest no. of pods plant⁻¹, no. of seeds plant⁻¹, no. of seeds pod⁻¹, test weight, seed yield plant⁻¹, seed yield (q ha⁻¹) because fulfilment of optimum thermal requirement for various plant processes. High temperature during-reproductive stage adversely affected the number of pods plant⁻¹, number of seed pod⁻¹ in late sowing (November 30) which ultimately resulted the lowest seed yield.

Key Words: [Chickpea KGD-1168](#), Plant Height, Seed, Temperature and Yield.

Introduction

Globally, chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is the second most important legume crop after dry beans. India ranks first in the world, contributing 68% of the global chickpea production accompanied by Australia (60%), Turkey (47%), Myanmar (42%) and Ethiopia (35%) **Gaur et al., (2012)**. In India, it tops the list of pulse crops and is cultivated in 8.32 million ha, producing a total of 7.70 million tons with an average yield of 925.5 kg ha⁻¹ (**FAOSTAT 2014**). From the nutrition perspective, chickpea seed contains 20-30% crude protein, 40% carbohydrate, and 3-6% oil (**Basavegowda et al., 2019**).

India is the largest producer and consumer of pulses in the world. Major pulses grown in India include chickpea, pigeonpea, lentil, urd bean, mung bean, pea, lablab bean, moth bean, horse bean. Among the pulses, chickpea is the most important grown in every part of India. It is largest produced food legume in South Asia. Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is a major

legume crop cultivated for its edible seeds legume of the genus *Cicer*, Tribe *Cicereae*, family *Fabaceae* (*leguminaceae*), and subfamily *Papilionaceae*. It provide protein rich diet to the vegetarian of the Indian and complement the stable cereals in the diets with proteins, essential amino acids, vitamins and minerals (**Pingoliya et al. 2013**). They contain 22- 24 % protein, which is almost twice the protein in wheat & thrice that of rice (**Shukla et al. 2013**) and carbohydrate (61.51%), fat (4.5%) and relatively free from anti nutritional factors (**Saxena, 1990**). Chickpea is rich in protein content (20.47g/100g), carbohydrate (62.95g/100g), fibre (12.2g/100g), phosphorous (252mg/100g), high amount of minerals such as calcium (57mg/100g), magnesium (79mg/100g), iron (4.31mg/100g) and zinc (15mg/100g), low in fat content and most of it is polyunsaturated (**Wallace et al. 2016**). Its nomenclature in different countries is well documented as gram, chickpea, hommos, chana, chiating vetch, nakhud, nakhut, kicher, pois chice, garbarzo etc. (**Malik et al. 2003**). Chickpea has many local names: hamaz (Arab world), shimbra (Ethiopia), nohud or lablabi (Turkey), chana (India) and garbanzo (Latin America) (**Muehlbauer and Tullu, 1997**).

Chickpea is grown in India as post monsoon winter season (*Rabi*) crop as it requires cool and dry weather for optimum growth. Both temperature and moisture supply during the growing period had a strong influence on chickpea. Start of flowering in chickpea is dependent on photothermal conditions (**Basu et al., 2009**). The most vital step towards enhancing yield of chickpea is to ensure that the phenology of the crop is well in line to resources and constraints of the crop growth and development (**Summerfield et al., 1990**). Grain yield is significantly sensitive to water stress during the pod setting to grain development periods irrespective of soil texture (**Jalota et al., 2006**). Higher temperature about 30-35°C has a detrimental effect on growth of chickpea.

Delay in sowing causes early maturity resulting drastic reduction in yield. The productivity of chickpea in eastern U.P. is quite below which needs to be improved by climatic and resource management (**Shendge et al., 2002**). The unusual weather during reproductive period of a crop adversely affects the crop productivity Plant development depends on temperature and requires a specific amount of heat to develop from one stage in their lifecycle to another, such as from seeding to the harvest stage. Temperature is a key factor for the timing of biological processes and hence regulates the growth and development of plants (**Ogbuene, et al., 2012**).

A quantitative understanding of the response of phenological development to environmental factors helps to predict crop yield. The crop is forced into maturity under hot and dry condition ($>30^{\circ}\text{C}$) by reducing the crop duration (**Richards et al., 2020**).

Temperature based indices like growing degree days (GDD), Heliothermal units (HTU), Pheno-thermal index (PTI), and Heat use efficiency (HUE) can successfully be used for describing phenological behaviour and other growth parameters like leaf area development, biomass production and yield (Wang *et al.*, 2006). Among pulses, chickpea is more sensitive to temperature. The main reason of chickpea flower abortion has been shown when mean daily temperature of less than 15⁰C. Late sowing can affect plant height which may reduce vegetative cover and water use efficiency and increase the incidence of insects. Sowing time and cultivars are two important factors which can affect the growth and yield of chickpea (Devasirvatham *et al.*, 2012).

Materials & Methods

Soil of Experimental Field

The soil of the experimental field had originated from alluvial deposits. Soil is sandy loam in texture neutral in reaction (pH 7.04), low inorganic carbon (0.32%), available N (245.10 kg ha⁻¹), in available P (8.60 kg ha⁻¹), medium in available K (121.60 kg ha⁻¹).

Layout and Design of the Experiment

The experiment was laid out in split plot design with four replications. The total numbers of unit plots were 36. The size of a unit plot was 4.5 m X 5.0 m. The width of the main irrigation channel is 1.5 m.

Chart 1 : Treatments of the Investigation

Treatments	Symbols used
Main plot treatments	
Sowing date/ sowing temperature (3)	
November 10/ 22 ⁰ C	D ₁
November 20/ 17 ⁰ C	D ₂
November 30/ 16 ⁰ C	D ₃
B. Sub- plot treatment	
Varieties	
KWR-108	V ₁
KPG-59	V ₂

Application of fertilizer

Diammonium phosphate and urea was used to supply nitrogen and phosphorus. An uniform dose of 20 kg N and 60 kg P₂O₅ and 20 kg K₂O were applied through Urea, DAP and MOP, Total quantity of fertilizers were given as basal just below the seed at the time of sowing in the furrows opened by Kudal.

Sowing of seed

Sowing was done as per treatment in rows 30 cm apart opened with the help of Kudal. Seeds were drilled in furrows and covered manually just after sowing.

Crop growth rate (gm⁻² days⁻¹) Crop growth rate was worked out by the following formula described by Watson, 1947

$$\text{Crop growth rate} = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{(t_2 - t_1)}$$

Where

W₁ and W₂ are the total dry matter production at the time T₁ and T₂ respectively.

Result and Discussion

Initial Plant Population

Data pertaining to initial plant population of chickpea recorded at 30 DAS as influenced by date of sowing/sowing temperature and varieties have been presented in Table- 1. Maximum plant population (32.66 m⁻²) was recorded under sowing done on Nov.10 with sowing temp. /22⁰C followed by Nov. 20 sowing of chickpea. Delay in sowing recorded the lowest no of initial plant population. It is evident from the data that KGD-1168 variety exhibited maximum initial plant population (32.43) followed by KWR-108 (32.06). The results of the present investigation are also in agreement with the findings of **Yadav (2006) and Husnain *et al.*, (2015)**

Table- 1: Initial Plant population (m⁻²) at 30 DAS as affected by sowing date /temperature varieties of chickpea

Treatments	Plant population (m ⁻²)
Date of sowing/ sowing temperature	
Nov. 10/ 22 ⁰ C	32.66
Nov. 20/ 17 ⁰ C	32.33
Nov. 30/ 16 ⁰ C]	31.44
SEm±	0.67
CD at 5%	2.07

Varieties	
KWR-108	32.06
KPG-59	31.94
KGD-1168	32.43
SEm±	0.88
CD at 5%	3.80

Plant height (cm)

Data pertaining to plant height of chickpea recorded at various growth stages as affected by dates of sowing/sowing temperature and varieties have been presented in (Table-2). It is evident from the data that date of sowing/sowing temperature influenced plant height significantly at all the growth stages. Taller plants were obtained at sowing temperature 22⁰C (exist on November 10) which was significant over rest both of the sowing dates. Shorter plants were recorded under delayed sowing.

Varieties had significant variation on Plant height at all the stages. It is quite evident from the data that higher plant height was obtained in KGD-1168 which was at par with KWR-108 at all the stages while significantly superior over KPG-59 variety. Data also showed that KPG-59 variety recorded smaller height of Plant at all the stages. The consequences of the current investigation are additionally in concurrence with the investigation of **Agrawal and Upadhyay (2009)**, **Rehman et al., (2015)** and **Abuyusuf et al., (2015)**

Table- 2: Plant height (cm) at different DAS of chickpea as affected by various treatments

Treatments	DAS			
	30	60	90	At harvest
Date of sowing /sowing temperature				
Nov. 10/22 ⁰ C	10.73	22.68	48.60	53.24
Nov. 20/17 ⁰ C	10.32	22.24	48.28	53.52
Nov. 30/16 ⁰ C	9.69	22.63	45.81	52.46
SEm±	0.234	0.234	0.230	0.312
CD at 5%	0.718	0.717	0.701	1.020
Varieties				
KWR-108	9.95	22.18	48.10	53.66
KPG-59	10.21	22.27	47.07	52.27
KGD-1168	10.58	23.09	47.53	53.29
SEm±	0.20	0.23	0.26	0.31
CD at 5%	0.86	1.01	1.03	1.07

Number of branches Plant⁻¹

Data pertaining to number of branches/plant as affected by different treatments are given in (Table-3). It is quite evident from the data that different date of sowing/sowing temperature had significant influence on the number of branches/plant at all the stages of the observation. A cursory glance over data quite reveal that crop sown on Nov.10 with temperature 22⁰C produced significantly higher number of branches/plant⁻¹ was significantly superior over both sowing done on Nov.30 with temperature 16⁰C and Nov. 20 with temperature 17⁰C.

The number of branches plant⁻¹ was affected significantly by varieties at all the stages. The higher number of branches was recorded with KGD-1168 followed by KWR-108 variety which was significantly superior over KPG-59 at all the stages. The results of the present investigation are also in agreement with the findings of **Singh *et al.*, (2014)** and **Bhattacharya *et al.*, (2019)**

Table-3: Number of branches plant⁻¹ at different DAS of chickpea as affected by various treatments

Treatments	DAS			
	30	60	90	At harvest
Date of sowing /sowing temperature				
Nov. 10/22 ⁰ C	2.67	6.11	6.52	7.15
Nov. 20/17 ⁰ C	2.75	5.59	6.05	6.44
Nov. 30/16 ⁰ C	2.63	5.66	6.17	6.51
SEm ±	0.067	0.143	0.261	0.144
CD at 5%	0.207	0.440	0.80	0.442
Varieties				
KWR-108	2.49	6.09	6.52	6.64
KPG-59	2.47	5.36	5.89	6.44
KGD-1168	3.08	5.91	6.34	7.01
SEm ±	0.038	0.157	0.157	0.163
CD at 5%	0.117	0.482	0.482	0.499

Dry matter accumulation

Data regarding dry matter accumulation as influenced by date of sowing/sowing temperature and varieties has been presented in Table- 4. It is quite obvious from the data that dry matter accumulation varied significantly due to date of sowing/sowing temperature at all the stages of chickpea. It was recorded higher under the treatment when chickpea was sown on Nov.10 with sowing temperature 22⁰C which was at while significantly superior over rest both of the sowing dates. Delayed sowing recorded lowest dry matter at all the stages.

Dry matter accumulation was affected significantly at all the stages due to varieties (Table- 4). Highest dry matter accumulation was recorded in KPG-59 variety followed by KGD-1168 while significant the lowest dry matter accumulated was recorded in KWR-108 at

all the stages of chickpea. Data also reveal that KWR-108 variety recorded lowest dry matter accumulation at all the growth stages. Comparative findings were detailed by Pawar (2015) and Salih *et al.*, (2018)

Table- 4: Dry matter accumulation at different DAS of chickpea as affected by various treatments

Treatments	DAS			
	30	60	90	At harvest
Date of sowing /sowing temperature				
Nov. 10/22 ⁰ C	126.79	312.80	498.15	794.18
Nov. 20/17 ⁰ C	123.92	261.60	476.67	766.65
Nov. 30/16 ⁰ C	122.64	290.12	460.44	738.29
SEm ±	4.043	12.271	15.509	23.855
CD at 5%	12.40	37.658	47.594	73.208
Varieties				
KWR-108	123.82	285.35	478.52	766.54
KPG-59	123.79	293.06	477.37	766.05
KGD-1168	125.75	286.10	479.37	766.53
SEm ±	1.10	8.64	1.32	0.70
CD at 5%	4.74	37.31	5.70	3.00

Crop growth rate

Data pertaining to crop growth rate of chickpea recorded at successive growth stages have been presented in (Table- 5). A critical examination over data quite reveal that CGR increased successively till 60-90 DAS and thereafter declined slowly irrespective of various sowing date and varieties. Highest CGR at all the stages was recorded in Nov.10 sowing/ sowing temp. of 22⁰C followed by Nov.20 sowing. However, delay in sowing recorded lowest CGR value at all the stages.

Different varieties had marked variation on CGR at all the stages (Table- 5) KGD-1168 variety of chickpea showed the highest CGR at all the stages followed by KPG-59 and then KWR-108. The consequences of the current investigation are additionally in concurrence with the investigation of Soltani *et al.*, (2006) and Ramteke *et al.*, (2020)

Table- 5: Crop growth rate at different DAS of chickpea as affected by various treatments

Treatments	DAS			
	30-60	60-90	90-120	120-harvest
Date of sowing /sowing temperature				
Nov. 10/22 ⁰ C	7.33	7.98	7.43	5.00
Nov. 20/17 ⁰ C	6.79	8.16	6.69	4.75
Nov. 30/16 ⁰ C	6.07	7.77	7.04	4.97

SEm ±	0.0181	0.192	0.231	0.157
CD at 5%	0.557	0.590	0.709	0.482
Varieties				
KWR-108	6.77	7.93	6.92	5.04
KPG-59	6.48	7.51	7.10	4.56
KGD-1168	6.94	8.07	7.14	4.72
SEm ±	0.128	0.064	0.170	0.064
CD at 5%	0.394	0.197	0.521	0.197

Yield Components and yields

Yield Components

Data pertaining to yield components viz: number of pods Plant⁻¹, number of seeds plant⁻¹, number of seeds pods⁻¹ and test weight as affected by date of sowing/sowing temperature and varieties have been presented in Table- 6. Higher number of Pods Plant⁻¹ (56.12), number of seeds plant⁻¹ (160.19), number of seeds pod⁻¹ (1.80) and test weight (25.19g) were recorded when crop was sown on Nov.10 with sowing temperature 22⁰C which was significantly superior over Nov. 20 with sowing temperature 17⁰C and Nov. 30 with sowing temperature 16⁰C. The lowest number of pods Plant⁻¹, number of seeds plant⁻¹, number of seeds pods⁻¹ and test weight was recorded when sowing was done on Nov. 30 with sowing temperature 16⁰C.

Number of pods Plant⁻¹ was significantly affected by different varieties. Higher number of pods Plant⁻¹ (55.28), number of seeds plant⁻¹ (154.90), number of seeds pods⁻¹ (1.70) and test weight (24.72 g) were recorded with KWR-108 variety followed by KGD-1168 (54.95) and then KPG-59. Comparative findings were detailed by **Kumar *et al.*, (2016)**, **Yadav *et al.*, (2019)** and **Singh *et al.* (2018)**

Seed yield (q ha⁻¹)

Data pertaining to seed yield of chickpea as affected by date of sowing/sowing temperature and varieties have been given in Table- 6.

Different date of sowing/sowing temperature brought significant influence on seed yield of chickpea. Higher seed yield (22.18 q ha⁻¹) was recorded under sowing done on Nov. 10 with sowing temperature 22⁰C which was significantly superior over sowing done on Nov. 20 with temperature 17⁰C followed by sowing done on Nov. 30 with sowing temperature 16⁰C. Seed yield of chickpea was affected significantly due to different varieties. Higher seed yield (21.18 q ha⁻¹) was recorded in KWR-108 variety which was significant over KPG-59 (21.16 q ha⁻¹) and KGD-1168 (21.09q ha⁻¹.)

Different dates of sowing and varieties have marked variation on the average temperature during crop period and seed yield of chickpea table 6. It is obvious from the data that delay in sowing reduced the seed yield. The consequences of the current investigation are additionally in concurrence with the investigation of **Sahu *et al.* (2007), Rajpoot *et al.*, (2020) and Eser *et al.*, (2019)**

Table- 6: No. of pods plant⁻¹, No. of seeds plant⁻¹, No. of seeds pod⁻¹, test weight, seed yield plant⁻¹, seed yield (q ha⁻¹) at different DAS of chickpea as affected by various treatments

Treatments	No of Pods plant ⁻¹	No of Seeds Plant ⁻¹	No of Seeds pod ⁻¹	Test weight (g)	Seed Yield (qha ⁻¹)
Date of sowing /sowing temperature					
Nov. 10/22 ⁰ C	56.12	160.19	1.80	25.19	22.18
Nov. 20/17 ⁰ C	55.61	156.25	1.76	24.53	21.00
Nov. 30/16 ⁰ C	52.22	148.37	1.65	23.13	20.11
SEm±	0.240	0.521	0.00	0.219	0.111
CD at 5%	0.737	1.599	0.00	0.671	0.341
Varieties					
KWR-108	55.28	154.90	1.70	24.72	21.18
KPG-59	53.81	153.95	1.72	23.83	21.16
KGD-1168	54.95	155.94	1.78	24.30	21.09
SEm ±	0.17	.029	0.001	0.24	0.24
CD at 5%	0.73	1.27	0.05	1.05	1.02

Conclusion

On the basis of result it can be concluded that November, 10 sown crop with sowing temperature 22⁰C produced significantly higher growth, yield attributes and yield due to fulfilment of optimum thermal requirement at various phenophases of chickpea. High temperature during reproductive stage adversely affected the number of pods plant⁻¹, number of seeds plant⁻¹, number of seeds pod⁻¹ in delayed sowing (November, 30) which resulted significantly lowest yield of chickpea. KGD-1168 variety was found more conducive for growth, development and yield under different growing environments of chickpea.

References

Abuyusuf, M., Sikdar, S., Ahmed, S., Tannin, M.F. and Sikdar, (2015). Variety and sowing time on the growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in southern region of Bangladesh- *Euro. Acad. Res.* **3**(6): 6920-6945.

Agrawal, K.K. and Upadhyay, A.P. (2009). Thermal indices for suitable sowing time of chickpea in Jabalpur region of Madhya Pradesh. *J. of Agrometeorology*, **11** (1): 89-91.

Basavegowda, Enayat, Seema, Beedi, Sangeetha, Macha, Umesh, Hiremath and Harish, M.S. (2019). Studies on Enhancing Seed Performance of Kabuli Chickpea. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, **8**(04): 507-512.

Basu, P. S., Ali, M., & Chaturvedi, S. K. (2009, February). Terminal heat stress adversely affects chickpea productivity in northern India—Strategies to improve thermo tolerance in the crop under climate change. In *W3 Workshop Proceedings: Impact of Climate Change on Agriculture* (Vol. 23, pp. 189-193). New Delhi: International Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing.

Bhattacharya, A.; and Pandey, P.S. (2019). Physiological studies in chickpea varieties Effect of temperature and time of sowing. *Indian Journal of Pulse Research*. **12**(1): 57-64.

Devasirvatham, V., Tan, D. K. Y., Gaur, P. M., Raju, T. N., & Trethowan, R. M. (2012). High temperature tolerance in chickpea and its implications for plant improvement. *Crop and Pasture Science*, **63**(5), 419-428.

Eser, D; Ukur and Adok, M.S. (2019). Effect of seed size on yield and yield component in chickpea. *International Chickpea Newsletter*. **25**: 13-15.

FAOSTAT, Available at: <http://faostat3.fao.org/home/index.html>, 2014.

Gaur, P.M., Jukanti, A.K. and Varshne, R.K. (2012). Impact of genomic technologies on chickpea breeding strategies. *Agronomy*, **2**: 199-221.

Husnain, M.S., Mahabub, S.T., Mazed, H.E.M.K. Habib, Z.F.B. and M.A.I. (2015). Effect of sowing time on growth, yield and seed quality of chickpea (Bari Chhola-6). *Int. J Multidisc- Res. and Dev.*, **2**(7): 136-141.

Jalota, S. K., Sood, A., & Harman, W. L. (2006). Assessing the response of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) yield to irrigation water on two soils in Punjab (India): A simulation analysis using the CROPMAN model. *Agricultural Water Management*, **79**(3), 312-320.

Kumar, Jitendra, Sarvesh Kumar, Brajesh Prajapati, Amar Kant Verma & Awdhesh Kumar, (2016). Yield and yield attributes of plant geometry of Gram (*Cicer arietinum* L.)

under rainfed condition in Uttar Pradesh. *Research in Environment and Life Science*, **9**(9): 1087-1089.

Malik, M. A., Saleem, M. F., Asghar, A. and Ijaz, M. (2003). Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus application on growth, yield and quality of mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.). *Pakistan J. Agril. Sci.***40** (3-4): 133-136.

Muehlbauer, F.J. and Tullu, A. (1997). New crop fact sheet: chickpea *Cicer arietinum* L. New York Times 18 November. Available at: <http://hort.purdue.edu/newcrop/crops/cropfactsheets/chickpea.html>.

Ogbuene, E. B. (2012). Impact of temperature and rainfall disparity on human comfort index in Enugu urban environment, Enugu State, Nigeria. *J Environ Issues Agric Dev Ctries*, **4**(1), 92-103.

Pawar, N.B. (2015). Effect of extended sowing dates on growth and yield of Physio. *Photon.*, IL 7: 260-264.

Pingoliya, K, K., Dotaniya, M, L., Mathur, A, K. (2013). Role of phosphorus and iron in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Lap Lambert Academic Publisher, Germany*.

Rajpoot, Vijay Kumar, Amar Kant Verma, Raghvendra Singh, Sumit Raj, Yogesh Pratap Singh, Ranjeet Kumar and Amit Prakash Raghuwanshi (2020). Studies of row spacing and fertility levels on the performance of white gram (*Cicer kabulium* L.) in indo-gangetic plain zone of Uttar Pradesh. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **9**(7): 309-311.

Ramteke, S.D., Chetti, M.B. and Slimath, P.M. (2020). Heat unit requirement of chickpea genotype for various phenological stage during *kharif* and *Rabi* season. **10**(2): 176-181.

Rehman Qamar, Rehman, Ahmad, Qamar, Saqib, M. and Nawaz, S. (2015). Effect of different sowing dates on growth and grain yield of gram. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. **31**(4): 324-328.

Richards, M. F., Preston, A. L., Napier, T., Jenkins, L., & Maphosa, L. (2020). Sowing date affects the timing and duration of key chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) growth phases. *Plants*, **9**(10), 1257.

Sahu, D.D.; Chopada M.C. and Patoleya B.M. (2007). Response of sowing time its pattern and seed rate on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) *J. of Agrometeorology*, **9**(1): 68-73.

Salih R.H., Abdullah, S. A. and Mohammed, B. I. (2018). Effect of sowing dates and two chickpea cultivars on some growth parameters and yield. *ZJPAS*. **30**(4): 40-57.

Saxena, M, C. (1990). Problems and potential of chickpea production in the nineties. In: Chickpea in the nineties. Proc Second Int. Workshop on chickpea Imp, 4-8th December, 1989, ICRISAT Centre, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh, India, pp. 13-25.

Shendge, A.V.; Varshneya, M.C.; Bote, N.L. and Aybhaya, P.R. (2002). Studies on spectral reflection in gram. *Journal of Maharashtra Agril. University* 27: 82-87.

Shukla, M., Patel, R, H., Verma, R., Deewan, P., Dotaniya, M, L. (2013). Effect of bio-organics and chemical fertilizers on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under middle Gujrat condition. *Vegetos*. **26**(1): 183-187.

Singh R.P., Verma, S.K., Singh, R.K. and Idnani, L.K.Z (2014). Influence of sowing dates and weed management on weed growth and nutrients depletion by weeds and uptake by chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under rainfed condition. *Indian J. Agricul. Sci.*, **84**(4): 468-472.

Singh, K.P., Pathak, M.M. and Satapathy, (2018). Correlation and path coefficient analysis in segregating generations of chickpea. *Indian J. Pulses Res.* **12**(2): 187-191.

Soltani, A., Roberston, M.J., Torabi, B., Yousefi-daz, M. and Sarpararast, R. (2006). Modelling seedling emergence in chickpea as influenced by temperature and sowing depth. *J. of Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, **138**(1/4): 156-167.

Summerfield, R. J., Virmani, S. M., Roberts, E. H., & Ellis, R. H. (1990). Adaptation of chickpea to agro climatic constraints. *Chickpea in the Nineties. ICRISAT, Patancheru, India*, 61-72.

Wallace, T. C., Murray, R., Kathleen, M. and Zelman, K. (2016). The nutritional value and health benefits of chickpeas and humus. *Nutrients*. **8**(12): 766.

Wang, J., Gan, Y. T., Clarke, F., & McDonald, C. L. (2006). Response of chickpea yield to high temperature stress during reproductive development. *Crop Science*, 46(5), 2171-2178.

Watson, D.J. (1947). Comparative physiological studies on the growth of field crops. Variation in Net assimilation rate and leaf area between species and varieties within and between years. *Ann. Bot. (NS)* II; 41-46

Yadav, T.I.; Mckerrzia, B.A. and Hill, G.O. (2019). Effect of light and soil moisture on yield components and absorption of reproductive structure of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *J. of Crop and Hort. Sci.* 27(2): 153-161.

Yadav, S.B. (2006). Study on heat and radiation use of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivar variable under weather condition M.Sc. thesis, N.D. University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad, U.P., 224229.

UNDER PEER REVIEW