

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_88478
Title of the Manuscript:	Standardization of Aonla Candy ( <i>Emblica officinalis</i> L.) Cv. Kanchan
Type of the Article	Food Processing and Preservation

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The manuscript has been prepared after careful experimentation on preservation of the aonla candy samples so as to enhance shelf life and related parameters. A) In the Abstractf, why the authors conducted the experiment should clearly indicate which is missing. B) Author(s) should clearly indicate the advantages of their process over the others which are under practice as revealed in the references cited. C) In the treatments, how the authors arrived to use 68% or 48 % sugar syrup may be clarified. D) In the "Introduction part the reference given as Kalra, 1998 should be Kalra, et al. 1998. E) In Results and Discussion Part, Navitha <i>et al.</i> , (2018) should be Navitha and Mishra, 2018. Journal name is repeated in citing the reference also.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Uniformity in writing the references, viz. writing the journal name in italics as per the journals guidelines etc. should be maintained. This should be rectified accordingly.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Good work, should look into health issues in future studies.	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Kartik Neog
Department, University & Country	India