

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_88416
Title of the Manuscript:	Response to selection for the quantitative traits in bottle gourd [<i>Lagenaria siceraria</i> (Mol.) Standl.]
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Evaluating the manuscript entitled: Response to selection for the quantitative traits in bottle gourd [<i>Lagenaria siceraria</i> (Mol.) Standl.]. It appears that the research is important to know the behavior of the species, in the face of changes in its cultural practices, since this, species is of great importance to local communities. However, it is necessary to make some recommendations here. The title of the work is very limited, and needs to be better described. The introduction has few citations and needs improvements in writing and bibliographic referencing.</p> <p>The methodology used, should be better explained in the technical language appropriate to the area. Results and discussions, must be deepened and contextualized with results obtained by other authors. The work does not present the conclusion of the research. these are the observations needed to improve this manuscript. Therefore, I certify that this should be considered for publication.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	José Franciraldo de Lima
Department, University & Country	Federal University of ABC, Brazil